Calendar No. 839

97TH CONGRESS } SENATE { REPORT
2d Session } { No. 97-572

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATION BILL, 1983

SEPTEMBER 22 (legislative day, SEPTEMBER 8), 1982.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. LAXALT, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 6968]

The Committee on Appropriations, to which was referred the bill (H.R. 6968) making appropriations for military construction for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1983, and for other purposes, reports the same to the Senate with various amendments, and presents herewith information relative to the changes made:

Amount of bill passed by House	$7,000,249,000
Amount of increase by Senate over the House	99,459,000
Total of bill as reported to Senate	7,099,708,000
Amount of 1983 budget estimate	8,211,827,000
Amount of 1982 appropriations	7,116,052,000
The bill as reported to the Senate:	
Under the budget estimate, 1983	1,112,119,000
Under appropriations for fiscal year 1982	16,344,000

S183-11

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Report page
Background:	
Purpose of the bill	3
Comparative statement	3
Summary of the bill	5
Compliance with section 308 of the Budget Control Act	5
Committee recommendations	5
Items of special interest	6
Military construction, Army	15
Military construction, Navy	17
Military construction, Air Force	20
Military construction, Defense Agencies	25
North Atlantic Treaty Organization	26
Military construction, Guard and Reserve Components	26
Family housing, Army	29
Family housing, Navy	30
Family housing, Air Force	31
Family housing, Defense Agencies	31
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense	31
General provisions	32
Project listing by location	33

BACKGROUND

Purpose of the Bill

The Military Construction Appropriation Bill provides necessary funding for the planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of military facilities worldwide, both for Active and Reserve Forces. It also finances the construction, alteration, improvement, operation and maintenance of all military family housing, including payments against past housing mortgage indebtedness. Certain types of community impact assistance are provided, as well as assistance to members who face loss on the sale of private residences due to installation realinements. The bill is also the source for the U.S. share of NATO infrastructure construction costs.

Comparative Statement

The fiscal year 1983 budget requests appropriations totaling $8,211,827,000. The following table displays this request, and compares it with the current fiscal year, the House amount, and the Committee recommendation:

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY

Item	1982 Appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (-) compared with— 1982 Appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Military construction, Army	$943,701,000	$1,100,200,000	$941,570,000	$982,810,000	+$39,109,000	-$117,390,000	+$41,240,000
Military construction, Navy	1,451,393,000	1,208,300,000	1,120,813,000	1,047,040,000	-404,353,000	-161,260,000	-73,773,000
Military construction, Air Force	1,558,451,000	2,081,800,000	1,420,825,000	1,657,457,000	+99,006,000	-424,343,000	+236,632,000
Military construction, Defense Agencies	306,490,000	408,900,000	289,145,000	305,143,000	-1,347,000	-103,757,000	+15,998,000
Transfer, not to exceed	(20,000,000)	.....	.....	.....	(-20,000,000)	.....	.....
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Infrastructure	345,000,000	375,000,000	325,000,000	325,000,000	-20,000,000	-50,000,000	.....
Military construction, Army National Guard	67,658,000	51,100,000	54,958,000	51,100,000	-16,558,000	.....	-3,858,000
Military construction, Air National Guard	105,140,000	106,800,000	127,900,000	106,800,000	+1,660,000	.....	-21,100,000
Military construction, Army Reserve	64,703,000	42,800,000	41,800,000	42,800,000	-21,903,000	.....	+1,000,000
Military construction, Naval Reserve	36,000,000	25,200,000	25,200,000	25,200,000	-10,800,000	.....	.....
Military construction, Air Force Reserve	37,400,000	35,600,000	35,600,000	35,600,000	-1,800,000	.....	.....
Military construction, Reserve components generally	470,000	.....	.....	.....	-470,000	.....	.....
Total, military construction	4,916,406,000	5,436,700,000	4,382,811,000	4,578,950,000	-337,456,000	-856,750,000	+196,139,000
Family housing, Army	899,400,000	1,091,953,000	1,054,610,000	995,040,000	+95,640,000	-96,913,000	-59,570,000
Portion applied to debt reduction	-39,465,000	-38,534,000	-38,534,000	-38,534,000	+931,000	.....	.....
Total, family housing, Army	859,935,000	1,053,419,000	1,016,076,000	956,506,000	+96,571,000	-96,913,000	-59,570,000
Family housing, Navy	613,943,000	796,844,000	741,400,000	736,230,000	+122,287,000	-60,614,000	-5,170,000
Portion applied to debt reduction	-26,158,000	-28,007,000	-28,007,000	-28,007,000	-1,849,000	.....	.....
Total, family housing, Navy	587,785,000	768,837,000	713,393,000	708,223,000	+120,438,000	-60,614,000	-5,170,000
Family housing, Air Force	800,268,000	989,085,000	929,271,000	897,171,000	+96,903,000	-91,914,000	-32,100,000
Portion applied to debt reduction	-63,265,000	-57,535,000	-57,535,000	-57,535,000	+5,730,000	.....	.....
Total, family housing, Air Force	737,003,000	931,550,000	871,736,000	839,636,000	+102,633,000	-91,914,000	-32,100,000
Family housing, Defense Agencies	12,923,000	18,321,000	14,233,000	14,393,000	+1,470,000	-3,928,000	+160,000
Homeowners assistance fund, Defense	2,000,000	4,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	.....	-2,000,000	.....
Grand total, new budget (obligational) authority	7,116,052,000	8,211,827,000	7,000,249,000	7,099,708,000	-16,344,000	-1,112,119,000	+99,459,000

Summary of the Bill

The Committee recommends a total of $7,099,708,000 in new budget authority/appropriations for fiscal year 1983 programs under its jurisdiction. Significant comparisons are noted below:

Fiscal year 1983 budget request: Budget authority	$8,211,827,000
Committee recommendation for fiscal year 1983: Budget authority	7,099,708,000
Which is—	
Under new budget authority granted in fiscal year 1982 by	16,344,000
Under the budget request by	1,112,119,000
Over the House bill by	99,708,000

Compliance With Section 308 of the Budget Control Act

Section 308(a) of the Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344) requires that the Committee include in its report a comparison of its recommendations with levels contained in the First Concurrent Resolution. Appropriate data are reflected below:

BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED BY THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC. 308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93-344

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority		Outlays	
	Committee allocation	Amount in bill	Committee allocation	Amount in bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with the Committee allocation to its subcommittees of amounts in the First Concurrent Resolution for 1983: Subcommittee on Military Construction	7,500	7,100	6,100	[1]6,091
Projections of outlays associated with budget authority recommended in the bill:				
1983				[2]2,123
1984				2,685
1985				1,021
1986				550
1967 and future year				721
Financial assistance to State and local governments for 1983 in the bill		49		8

[1] Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.
[2] Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

Committee Recommendation

As previously noted, the Committee is recommending new fiscal year 1983 appropriations of $7,099,708,000. This is $1,112,119,000 under the budget request and $99,459,000 above House allowances. The basis for this recommendation is contained in succeeding paragraphs for special interest items, and under the discussions pertaining to each individual appropriation.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Budgeting For Military Construction

The Committee is concerned over what appears to be a lack of planning and concern over the military construction budgeting process within the Defense Department. During consideration of the fiscal year 1982 budget, the Committee was faced with three different revisions to the military construction budget. The fiscal year 1983 budget included a sizable increase over the 1982 appropriation; however, during the debate on the budget regarding an amount for national defense, the Defense Department suggested a reduction in the military construction account of nearly $1,400,000,000. In order to meet the targets in the Budget Act, the Senate Armed Services Subcommittee on Military Construction recommended this reduction. This Committee was aware of the basis for the reductions and was prepared to follow the authorization reductions if necessary. However, during the conference on the military construction authorization bill, a compromise was agreed upon which has resulted in a reduction of approximately $800,000,000 from the President's request. This Committee is also under fiscal constraints to comply with the Committee allocations in budget authority and outlays as reported in the first concurrent budget resolution. For these reasons, the bill, as reported from the Senate Committee on Appropriations, is approximately $1,100,000,000 below the President's request.

The Committee believes that while no bill should be considered a sacred cow when it comes to balancing the budget, consideration should be given within the Defense Department to stop using military construction as the account to reduce when no one is willing to take reductions in the weapons systems accounts. It is understood that military construction is a very small amount when compared with the procurement of ships, planes, tanks, and missiles. But, without the docks for the ships, without the runways and hangers for the planes, without the maintenance facilities for wheeled and tracked vehicles, and without the launching sites for missiles, all of the funds spent on these systems would be of no use. Also, all of the newer systems require the best personnel available to operate and maintain them. These personnel deserve and should have the best facilities possible in which to live and work. Military construction funding can provide these facilities, but only if the projects are budgeted for in an orderly fashion. And only if the Defense Department is willing to guard the military construction account with the same emphasis that is given to other areas.

The concern of the Committee is based on the growing backlog of construction requirements. It is based on the fact that some of our military personnel are still living in pre-World War II buildings—some not even having bathrooms without going to another building. It is based on the fact that some of our tanks sit in mud when not in the field and must be maintained in old stables.

The Committee is well aware of the progress that has been made in the last few years. But, much remains to be accomplished and cannot be if level programs for military construction are not requested. The

Committee knows that the requirements cannot be funded in 1 year. However, the backlog of military construction requirements can only be reduced when a conscious decision is made to attack the problem and not make do with the 5-year temporary facilities that have been used for more than a quarter of a century.

MX Missile Program

The Committee is recommending $36,700,000 for facilities in support of the MX missile. The Air Force requested $207,000,000 for the initial portions of the interim basing of the MX. However, the authorization was reduced to $40,000,000 pending the President's decision on the basing mode. The projects approved by the Committee are as follows:

Hill AFB, Utah, logistics facilities	$12,300,000
Newark AFS, Ohio, alter MX guidance system repair facility	4,400,000
Vandenberg AFB, Calif., MX test flight facilities	20,000,000

All of the projects noted are in support of the missile itself but in no way are geared to the basing decision.

In the planning and design area for the MX, the House reduced the Air Force's planning and design account by $45,000,000 stating that $65,000,000 remained available from the fiscal year 1981 MX planning and design appropriation. The Committee has carefully reviewed the information provided by the Air Force on this account and believes that since the planning and design account is a lump sum appropriation, much of the funding for MX was expended prior to the restrictive language introduced in the fiscal year 1981 Supplemental Appropriations bill. There appears to remain $17,000,000 from the deferral in the fiscal year 1981 supplemental which the Air Force has considered in making its request now being considered. The Committee has restored the reduction taken by the House.

The Committee has been made aware of problems in meeting the MX initial operational capability (IOC) of 1986 if the restrictive provision is retained (section 113) concerning the use of design funds for site specific projects until all the terms, conditions, and requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) have been complied with. The Committee understands that when the basing decision on MX is announced, the administration will forward legislation to the Congress regarding the environmental impact of MX.

The Committee has deleted section 113 from this bill. However, to insure that the funds provided for planning and design of MX included in this legislation are appropriately expended, the Committee is directing that the Air Force and the Defense Department not obligate any fiscal year 1983 or prior MX planning and design funds until (1) the President notifies the Congress, in writing, of the basing mode in which the MX missile system will be deployed, and (2) the Congress has notified the Department of its approval to obligate the funds in question. If the Committee is made aware of any obligation of funds from this account prior to congressional approval, the Committee will take action on the first available piece of legislation to restrict, in law, the funding.

PERSIAN GULF/INDIAN OCEAN

The Committee continues its support of the necessary development of capabilities in the Persian Gulf area. As the Committee has previously stated, the national security interests of the United States are directly involved with the flow of oil from the Middle East region of the world, and the United States must have the ability to deploy forces rapidly to the area if the oil resources are threatened. The Committee has provided funding, as authorized, for the following projects in support of the rapid deployment force:

Diego Garcia:	
Naval facilities expansion	$53,395,000
Air Force facilities expansion	4,550,000
Somalia:	
Naval facilities expansion	30,000,000
Kenya:	
Naval base support	8,300,000
Oman:	
Air Force facilities expansion	60,350,000
Portugal:	
Lajes Field RDF upgrade projects	.....
Egypt:	
Army RDF base facilities	53,000,000
Air Force RDF facilities	125,600,000

All of the recommended funding noted above is for direct support of U.S. forces which would be deployed to the Middle East in the event of a contingency. With the exception of the facilities in Egypt, the Committee position agrees with the House recommendation which will be adjusted to conform with the authorization conference agreement.

Ras Banas, Egypt.—The Committee supports the need for the proposed rear staging area at Ras Banas, Egypt. The continuous review of all Southwest Asia construction requirements by the Defense Department has resulted in an approximate reduction of 16 percent ($83,000,000) in the total construction cost of the project. The reduction from $522,000,000 was achieved in a combined effort of the Services and was finalized in a formal action of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

Since the United States has not previously planned, programed, or constructed an austere rear staging area of the magnitude required at Ras Banas, the Committee is cognizant of the unique problems presented by this task. The Committee believes that the Defense Department has clearly defined the mission for this facility, and that the Departments of Defense and State are in agreement regarding the sensitive political-military issues related to the project.

Therefore, the Committee fully supports the fiscal year 1983 request for $178,000,000. Several aspects of this unique project need further comment and are detailed in the following paragraphs:

(1) Total cost.—The Defense Department has a specific total cost for the construction of facilities that will provide them the ability to deploy substantial forces to protect vital U.S. interests in the area. Since this is a contingency rear staging facility there are no plans for permanent forces except for caretaker personnel. In order to reduce to a minimum the construction of in-place facilities the contingency forces will rely

very heavily on prepositioned, relocatable facilities, and equipment. In-place facilities will be constructed only to support the minimum essential requirements at this site for medical treatment, storage of prepositioned items, sanitation, maintenance, utilities, and caretaker operations. Through planning dual use of some of these facilities, they will also be used for troop housing thus lowering the total cost. However, the majority of troop housing planned at this site will be provided through the use of prepositioned relocatable facilities (tents). The cost of prepositioned equipment is not a construction cost. It is the cost related to shortening the response time by reducing the airlift requirement during the early stages of a contingency operation, and portable prepositioned equipment reduces construction costs because of the portability factor. Prepositioned equipment that is needed to deploy and sustain forces must be stored at or near staging facilities. Prepositioning costs should properly be considered on a regional basis as a normal part of potential operations in the area and would be required elsewhere if Ras Banas was not utilized. We are satisfied the estimate of the total construction cost provided is based on sound programing and engineering principals.

(2) Country-to-country agreement.—President Reagan received a letter from the Government of Egypt offering the U.S. Government the contingency use of Ras Banas, as well as other facilities. The letter is signed by the late President Sadat. It has been affirmed by President Mubarak. It has received many strong endorsements from the Minister of Defense and the Foreign Minister. These affirmations have been made directly to numerous Members of the Congress, and to Secretary Weinberger during his September visit. When the letter and statements are put in the context of the growing mutual security relationship between our two countries, they fully support a commitment to proceed with this vital project.

(3) Operational feasibility.—The climate of the Middle East is not a deterrent to the necessary deployment of U.S. forces. Our Armed Forces are trained and equipped for potential missions in all types of climates. The climatic conditions at Ras Banas are no better or worse than found in many areas in this region of the world. The planning of the base has taken into consideration adequate provision for the weather conditions. The use of the Red Sea is one of the principal strengths of the Ras Banas location. The facility can be reached from the Mediterranean Sea and the Indian Ocean, and there are other ports on the Red Sea which can support resupply efforts. Early access to the facility can be obtained by both air and sea thus adding to the soundness of its location. Finally, its strategic location will allow DOD to stage U.S. forces in the area while remaining outside the normal range of potential hostile forces.

(4) Availability of a variety of facilities.—If the United States if forced to deploy as a deterrent or a combatant it will be necessary to use a variety of facilities depending on the precise nature of the contingency. The Department of Defense is working closely with various nations to utilize existing facilities wherever and whenever it is feasible

both politically and militarily. Even with such continuing efforts Ras Banas is the only available location that will best meet the requirements for deployment into the region as a deterrent prior to actual conflict.

(5) Allied support.—The productivity of the industrial economies of the United States and our European allies is heavily dependent on imported oil. The Committee believes it is mutually advantageous to keep Persian Gulf oil from being disrupted. Therefore, it is necessary that our allies continue to search for additional ways to support our efforts in the region.

In summary, the Committee believes that the time has arrived to demonstrate substantively our resolve to maintain our vital interests in the area. The planning for Ras Banas has been very thorough and convinces us of the need to begin construction in fiscal year 1983.

American Preference Program

The American preference program was initiated in fiscal year 1981 to give American contractors preference in the award of construction contracts undertaken in the Persian Gulf/Indian Ocean area. The Committee continues to support this program as does the House.

The House has stated in its report that certain subcontracting areas are being overlooked in this program. While the Committee is aware of the multitiered subcontracting process and the inherent problems in trying to insure American preference, the Department should do whatever is possible to promote American contractors. Any policy in this area should be considered on a cost factor as well as on the American presence in the area.

The House has directed the Department to assure more, not less, U.S. participation at all levels, in the Middle East construction program. The Committee believes that such a direction is not unreasonable if the Department assures that the American taxpayer is receiving the benefit of such a program. The basic obligation in the construction program should be free and open competition. If, as in the dredging program a differential is necessary to allow American firms to compete with the many foreign subsidized firms, then the Department should discuss this program with the committees. In any event, the Committe will expect a report on this program as a part of the fiscal year 1984 budget submission.

European/NATO Construction Programs

The Committee has provided more than $2,000,000,000 in military construction and family housing funding for Europe. This includes the $325,000,000 which is the U.S. share of the NATO infrastructure program.

The NATO infrastructure program is a plan in which each member nation of NATO contributes a percentage into a central fund that is used for designated projects throughout the member nations. The United States contributes 27 percent to the fund; however, because many of the installations and activities are used primarily by U.S. personnel,

it is estimated that the return on our investment far outweighs our contribution.

In some instances, the Committee has prefinanced NATO-eligible projects to provide facilities for U.S. forces in a timely manner. However, the recoupement balance on these projects remains at approximately $280,000,000. The Committee is aware of the budgetary constraints on NATO construction programs, but urges the Defense Department to make every effort to recoup these funds as quickly as possible. The Committee supports the House position that the $40,000,000 expected to be recouped from the current NATO infrastructure budget slice be applied to the fiscal year 1983 request for this account.

In another area of prefinancing, the Committee has approved $28,000,000 requested by the Air Force for collocated bases in NATO. The Committee supports the position taken by the authorization conference that this funding should be the last requested for this NATO-eligible program and that further funding should come directly from the NATO account.

The Committee is aware that in some instances certain costs associated with NATO-eligible projects are requested through the military construction program. These costs are usually associated with the fact that U.S. facilities' standards are not the same as the criteria used by NATO. While in some cases the standards used by U.S. forces are considered excessive to NATO criteria, there are many cases where the NATO standards appear to need review. The Department should carefully consider these standards. However, a basic philisophical discussion must be entered into to insure that any decision to revise standards would not have a severe cost impact on the U.S. contribution.

The Committee further believes that every effort should be made in Europe and throughout the world to obtain host nation support for all types of facilities.

Master Restationing Plan

The Committee is pleased to learn of the ongoing discussions between the United States and the Federal Republic of Germany with regard to the master restationing plan (MRP). The Committee has consistently supported this program which will provide the improved readiness desired for our troops in Germany, but most importantly it will provide our personnel with the type of facilities they deserve in which to work and live.

While the negotiations continue, the Committee has approved the $26,500,000 request for facilities at Vilseck. These facilities are designed to be used if MRP is approved; however, they support the current mission at Vilseck. The Committee understands that the authorization conferees have placed a restriction on the Vilseck projects' authorization pending further discussions between the German and American officials.

The Committee believes it to be in the best interest of the Defense Department and their personnel to see that these negotiations move forward as rapidly as possible.

Ground-Launched Cruise Missile

The Committee recommends $85,000,000 for the operational support facilities with regard to the deployment of the ground-launched cruise missile (GLCM) in Europe. The Committee has denied funds for dependent schools, family housing, and other community facilities due to authorization reductions.

What role the GLCM program will play in the United States-Soviet talks on theater nuclear forces remains to be seen; however, the United States should proceed with its commitments to NATO and meet base operational schedules. The Defense Department should also seek any funding appropriate through the NATO infrastructure program and host Nation support programs.

Caribbean Basin

The budget includes a request of $45,000,000 for contingency facilities in the Caribbean. The request represents a new initiative in defense planning in the Caribbean Basin. The Committee supports such a program and the language included in the House military construction appropriations report which also supports the request. However, due to authorization reductions, the Committee is recommending an appropriation of $21,000,000 for these facilities. The Committee believes that this program can be of great value to the United States and suggests that the country-to-country agreements be carefully reviewed to insure that they are in the best interest of U.S. defense policy.

Quality of Life

The Committee supports the position taken by the House with regard to quality of life facilities for our personnel. In the area of housing, the Committee has funded all authorized projects. While the Services still have a large deficit in this area, progress is being made to provide our personnel and their families with decent housing.

The Committee has continually supported funds for facilities which in many cases are considered of a lower priority than housing and operational structures. However, due to budgetary constraints placed on the Committee to meet allocations in budget authority and outlays as contained in the first concurrent budget resolution, reductions have been made in the bill this year.

The Committee defers without prejudice all projects in the following categories: gymnasiums, chapels and religious centers, child care centers, family service centers, recreation and education facilities and DOD schools. The reduction in these categories results in savings of approximately $87,000,000. The Committee notes that each of the projects deferred is included in the House version of the military construction appropriation bill. Therefore, each project will be given consideration during the conference process.

Planning and Design

The Committee recommends the full budget request of $464,044,000 for the planning and design accounts of the Active Forces, the Guard and Reserve components and defense agencies. The Committee believes that reductions to the planning and design accounts put the Department in a catch-22 situation since the Congress requires that projects be at a minimum 35 percent design level when the President's budget is submitted each January. Without appropriate funding, the projects cannot be adequately justified as to their estimated cost. The increases in these accounts reflect, hopefully, a decision by the Defense Department that a concerted effort must be made to work on the huge backlog of necessary facilities which remain unfunded and unbudgeted.

The House has included extensive report language concerning the planning and design account and its operation. The Committee supports the House position and agrees that there are some areas which should be monitored more closely. If improvements are not forthcoming in the management of the planning and design account, the Committee may be forced to make reductions even when its position is that the account is vitally important.

Reprograming Criteria

The Committee supports the House position on reprograming criteria with the exception of the new restrictions placed on the family housing account. The Committee's position on the family housing reprograming criteria is discussed in the general section of the family housing portion of this report.

The Committee believes that the cost variation procedures established in the recently passed Military Construction Codification Act (Public Law 97–214) are beneficial to both the Department and the Congress.

Exigent Minor Construction Funds

The Committee recommends the authorized level of funding for each of the individual exigent minor accounts included in this bill. The Committee believes that these accounts should be fully funded so as to allow the Defense Department some flexibility in funding emergency-type projects which cannot be delayed until a normal budget cycle.

Reductions for Good Bids

The Committee has restored the $85,000,000 general reduction taken by the House because of the good bids being received on previously programed projects. The Committee is well aware of the present market conditions and is pleased to know that the military construction accounts are running in the black for a change.

The House included in their recommendation nearly $300,000,000 in unbudgeted projects. None of the projects have been authorized for appropriation; however, the authorization conference agreement will allow for all of these projects to be approved using available authorization

and appropriation savings after July 1, 1983. If the savings continue to accumulate, the Department should notify the appropriate committees of the projects intended to be accomplished using these funds. By restoring the general reduction taken by the House, the Committee is hopeful that many or all of the unbudgeted projects will be obligated during fiscal year 1983.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Fiscal year 1982 appropriation	$943,701,000
Fiscal year 1983 request	1,100,200,000
House allowance	941,570,000
Committee recommendation	982,810,000

The Committee is recommending an appropriation of $982,810,000 for the Army for fiscal year 1983. This amount reflects a decrease of $117,390,000 to the budget request and an increase to the House proposal in the amount of $41,240,000.

Specific program changes in the Committee recommendation are discussed below: (See project listing at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

PROGRAM CHANGES

Reductions for unbudgeted projects.—The Committee defers without prejudice the following projects which are included in the House recommendation but are not a part of the President's budget nor authorized for appropriation:

Fort Benning, Ga.:	
Reception station barracks	$9,700,000
Troop medical clinic	1,050,000
Postal facility	270,000
Fort Gordon, Ga.:	
Signal school facility	9,200,000
Fort McPherson, Ga.:	
FORSCOM headquarters facility	41,000,000
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md.:	
UEPH	18,100,000
Fort Drum, N.Y.:	
Building insulation	1,550,000
Fort Hood, Tex.:	
Division headquarters	13,800,000
Fort Sam Houston, Tex.:	
Training barracks	20,000,000
Air-condition school	2,000,000
Dining hall	310,000

Pine Bluff Arsenal, Ark., binary munitions facility.—The Committee recommends $7,920,000 of the $18,300,000 requested for this binary munitions facility which would provide the necessary structure to begin production of the Bigeye VX–2 bomb. The Committee defers without prejudice the additional funds requested since all equipment and production funds have been deleted from the defense authorization bill. The Committee understands that the House Armed Services Committee has suggested that a reprograming for authorization pruposes be initiated through the appropriate committees if the Defense Department desires to proceed with the production program during fiscal year 1983.

The Committee believes that if such a reprograming is initiated then a similar request for military construction appropriation funding should be made to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

The $7,920,000 approved is to be used for the construction of a waste-treatment unit and landfill which is necessary for removing contaminated soil and facilities remaining from previous chemical production at Pine Bluff. The Committee understands that this cleanup project is required for safety and environmental reasons regardless of whether the binary production moves forward.

Johnston Island, expansion of maintenance building.—The Committee approves the $1,050,000 requested for this project which will allow maintenance operations to keep pace with deterioration rates currently being experienced on the toxic chemical munitions located on this island.

White Sands Missile Range, N. Mex., launch complex.—The Committee recommends $5,500,000 for this important project. The project is necessary to allow for research and development testing on components needed to deploy a BMD system and to prevent a 6-month delay in the test program which has been in technological development for several years.

Fort Carson, Colo., fencing.—The Committee supports the budget request of $880,000 for this project. The land purchase approved last year requires that this fencing be included for environmental purposes.

Fort Bliss, Tex., DIVAD training facility.—The Committee has restored the $6,700,000 deleted by the House for this project. This project is not a duplication of the project approved in fiscal year 1982, but a continuation of the program to provide training facilities to support the DIVAD.

Brooke Army Medical Center, Fort Sam Houston, Tex.—The House earmarked—in the bill—$8,000,000 of planning and design funds available to the Army for a renovation project at the Brooke Army Medical Center. The Committee supports the need to move forward with the design on this important facility; however, the Committee does not feel it necessary to include bill language to that effect. Therefore, the appropriate section has been deleted in the Senate bill. The Army is directed to move forward with the project as quickly as possible.

Fort Huachuca, Ariz., electromagnetic test facility.—The Committee recommends the $4,950,000 requested for this project. The House deleted the funds and requested an economic analysis on the project's cost effectiveness. The Army has provided this analysis which shows the project to have an amortization period of 3.8 years.

Germany, various locations.—The Committee has approved the following projects located in Germany which were deferred by the House pending the outcome of the authorization conference:

Kaiserslautern:	
Barracks	$12,200,000
Heating plant	1,450,000
Dining facility	2,650,000

Vilseck:	
Battalion headquarters and classroom	$3,000,000
Dining facility	3,600,000
Barracks	10,600,000
Barracks	9,300,000
Wildflecken:	
Facility modernization	5,800,000
Upgrade range 9	3,050,000
Attic insulation	1,850,000

Authorization reductions.—The Committee deletes funding as noted for the following projects included by the House which have failed authorization:

Fort Irwin, Calif.:	
Modernize miscellaneous facilities	$2,000,000
Outdoor playing courts and fields	2,250,000
Physical fitness center	5,500,000
Fort Leavenworth, Kans.:	
Gymnasium	3,400,000
Germany:	
Kriegsfeld—Administration building	880,000
Wertheim—Battalion headquarters/classroom	2,300,000

Military Construction, Navy

Fiscal year 1982 appropriation	$1,451,393,000
Fiscal year 1983 request	1,208,300,000
House allowance	1,120,813,000
Committee recommendation	1,047,040,000

The Committee is recommending $1,047,040,000 for Navy and Marine Corps military construction projects in fiscal year 1983. This amount reflects a decrease of $73,773,000 to the House approved amount and a decrease of $161,260,000 from the budget request.

Specific program changes reflected in the Committee recommendation are discussed below: (See project listing at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

PROGRAM CHANGES

Reductions for unbudgeted projects.—The Committee defers without prejudice the following projects which are included in the House recommendation but are not a part of the President's budget nor authorized for appropriation:

Naval Shipyard, Vallejo/Mare Island, Calif.:	
Compressed air breathing distribution system	$3,400,000
Electrical distribution system addition	3,500,000
Naval Station, Mayport, Fla.:	
Intermediate maintenance facility	7,700,000
Maintenance hanger	12,600,000
Naval Air Station, Whiting Field, Fla.:	
Airfield pavement	3,150,000
Naval Shipyard, Portsmouth, Maine:	
Steamplant improvements	900,000
Naval Air Station, Meridian, Miss.:	
Dining facility addition	820,000

98-830 O - 82 - 3

Naval Shipyard, Philadelphia, Pa.:	
Engineering management building	$17,500,000
Fire protection system	1,000,000
Naval Air Station, Oceana, Va.:	
Land acquisition	6,200,000

NS Charleston, S.C., mooring platform.—The Committee approves $6,700,000 for this project denied by the House. The House raised questions on the design of this structure. The Committee has learned that the facility is at 100 percent design and a floating drydock is scheduled to be moved into place which will accommodate the nine *Sturgeon* class attack submarines assigned to Charleston.

NATO infrastructure, noneligible items.—The Committee has restored the $3,000,000 denied by the House for this item. The funds are used for host nation support on NATO infrastructure projects constructed in the United States.

NAS Memphis, Tenn., applied instruction building.—The Committee supports the House position on this important project. Funds for this facility are a step in the right direction to correct the deficiencies throughout the Navy with regard to educational and training facilities. Training facilities are vital if the Navy intends to have properly trained personnel operating their equipment. The Committee urges the Navy to request the funds necessary to modernize the facilities at Memphis as well as other school locations.

NWS Yorktown, Va., torpedo shop addition.—The House denied this $970,000 project stating that the anticipated expansion in workload could be accomplished through facility reconfiguration. The Committee understands that the project does just what the House states by renovating 63 percent of the existing space. The Committee approves the project.

NSSB Kings Bay, Ga., various facilities.—The Committee approves the budget request of $148,280,000 for these projects. These funds will allow for continued orderly development of the east coast Trident submarine base with scheduled operational capability in 1989. The Committee strongly supports the language included in the House report and expects the Navy to keep this facility on schedule. The Committee is pleased to learn of the warm and friendly working relationships that have developed between the Navy and the local communities in the Kings Bay area. These relationships can only help to make this sizable facility a welcome addition to the local area.

NWC China Lake, Calif., electrical distribution line.—The Committee approves this $700,000 project denied by the House due to low design. The Committee has learned that this project, if approved, could be awarded in December 1982; and with a payback period of 2.9 years, the project is indeed a good investment.

NAS Moffett Field, Calif., unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing.—The Committee has approved the $6,850,000 requested for this project. The House denied the funds on the premise that the Air Force expected to move some personnel from this location thereby freeing up some space. The Air Force is not moving personnel; in fact, the Air Force has requested $1,900,000 as a companion project.

Diego Garcia, facilities expansion.—The Committee recommends the authorized level of funding for these projects which is $53,395,000.

NARF, North Island, Calif., manufacture/repair shop.—The Committee approves $18,500,000 for this project. The House deleted the funds and requested that the Navy reevaluate the requirement and confirm that no private sector potential existed to fulfill the function. The Navy's study concludes that an in-house capability to repair composites at this NARF depot must be attained. The private sector will not be responsive due to their inability to meet rapid turnaround times and the high costs associated with tooling and fluctuating workload. The project provides new technology repair capability for graphite-epoxy composite materials being widely used on the Navy's new F/A–18 aircraft and on the F–14, CH–53E, AV–8B, and LAMPS aircraft.

NAS Lemoore, Calif., operational trainer facility.—The Committee recommends $5,600,000 for this project deferred by the House on the basis that adequate training facilities were available. The project, as justified, provides housing for two additional trainers now under procurement at a cost of $40,500,000. The facility is required to meet the delivery date in late-1984 of the trainers. The project relates directly to the training program associated with the introduction of the F/A–18 aircraft.

NAS Cubi Point, Philippines, jet engine test cell.—The Committee is recommending the full budget request of $5,000,000 for this project. The House suggested that the Navy should procure the standardized Air Force version of this facility and reduced the amount requested by $2,500,000. The Air Force uses a facility designed to enclose the entire aircraft. This project is planned to test the uninstalled engine, following repairs or overhaul. If there appears to be a problem, the engine can be reworked without the time, effort, and cost associated with installing and again removing the engine from the aircraft.

Reductions for low design.—The Committee agrees with the House and deletes funding for the following two projects because they are not designed:

NWSC Crane, Ind.:	
Ship weapons systems laboratory	$600,000
Electronics/communications maintenance shop	350,000

NSWSES Port Hueneme, Calif., guided missile laboratory.—The House deferred without prejudice the $7,800,000 requested for this project because of low design status. Because of the urgent need for the in-service engineering facility for missile and gun-fire control systems and because of the 3-year economic payback period, this project was added by the Defense Department late in last year's budget cycle. However, the Navy has informed the Committee that design is progressing rapidly with 100 percent design expected early in the second quarter of fiscal year 1983 and contract award anticipated for late in the third quarter of fiscal year 1983. The Committee approves the project.

NSY Bremerton/Puget Sound, Wash., steam plant (phase II).—The Committee defers without prejudice the $98,000,000 requested for this project. Last year the Committee approved $37,000,000 for the first

phase of this project and supported the need for the facility. The Committee continues to support the need; however, it has come to the Committee's attention that delays in the technical and contractural requirements for equipment procurement have delayed design and construction award for the plant. It is estimated that $25,000,000 of the $98,000,000 request might be obligated very late in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 1983 with the remaining $73,000,000 not being obligated until the second quarter of fiscal year 1984. With further delays possible in design and equipment procurement, the Committee feels it prudent to defer the $98,000,000 request until fiscal year 1984 when the Navy will be able to obligate the funds.

NSY Charleston, S.C., crane and equipment maintenance shop.—The Committee has approved funding in the amount of $13,600,000 for this important project which was authorized in fiscal year 1982 but dropped from the appropriations bill due to budgetary constraints.

Military Construction, Air Force

Fiscal year 1982 appropriation	$1,558,451,000
Fiscal year 1983 request	2,081,800,000
House allowance	1,420,825,000
Committee recommendation	1,657,457,000

The Committee has recommended an appropriation of $1,657,457,000 for the Air Force in fiscal year 1983. The recommendation amounts to a decrease of $424,343,000 from the budget request and an increase to the House recommendation of $236,632,000.

Specific program changes reflected in the Committee recommendation are discussed below: (See project listing at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

PROGRAM CHANGES

Reductions for unbudgeted projects.—The Committee defers without prejudice the following projects which are included in the House recommendation but are not a part of the President's budget nor authorized for appropriation:

Blytheville AFB, Ariz.:	
Composite support equipment and organizational maintenance shop	$1,533,000
UEPH	3,600,000
UEPH	3,600,000
Eglin AFB, Fla.:	
Composite management facility	11,000,000
Tyndall AFB, Fla.:	
Petroleum operations complex	5,240,000
Moody AFB, Ga.:	
UEPH	4,000,000
Chanute AFB, Ill.:	
VEPH	10,800,000
Grissom AFB, Ind.:	
VEPH	3,400,000
Whiteman AFB, Mo.:	
VEPH	7,800,000

Malstrom AFB, Mont.:	
VEPH	$5,100,000
Griffis AFB, N.Y.:	
Vehicle maintenance facility	7,400,000
Altus AFB, Okla.:	
UEPH	2,100,000
Vance AFB, Okla.:	
VEPH	8,000,000
Flight facilities maintenance shop	500,000
Base operations facility	900,000

Authorization reductions.—The Committee deletes funding as noted for the following projects included by the House which have failed authorization:

Edwards AFB, Calif.:	
Education center	$820,000
Fort MacArthur, Calif.:	
Conversion to chapel	510,000
March AFB, Calif.:	
Alterations to division headquarters	550,000
Eglin AFB, Fla.:	
ECIP	1,600,000
Mountain Home AFB, Idaho:	
Addition to gym	2,650,000
Various locations, Maine:	
OTH-B operational support facilities	6,000,000
Minot AFB, N. Dak.:	
Addition/alteration to gym	710,000
Diego Garcia:	
Upgrade/construct RDF facilities	32,000,000
Germany:	
Bitburg AB—Warehouse	510,000
Geilenkirchen AB—Community support facility	3,766,000
Landstuhl—Addition/alteration to aeromedical staging facility	3,500,000
Semback AB—Warehouse	3,050,000
Korea:	
Publications management center	650,000
United Kingdom:	
RAF Bentwaters—Warehouse	310,000
RAF Fairford—Gym alteration	1,000,000
RAF Upper Heyford—Child care center	1,500,000

Peterson AFB, Colo., consolidated space operations center (CSOC).—The Committee has approved the $67,700,000 requested for the first phase construction of a Consolidated Space Operations Center (CSOC) near Peterson AFB, Colo. The Committee is concerned, however, about questions which have been raised about the adequacy of long-range planning, the assumptions made by the Air Force in the area of computers, the legal sufficiency of the proposed right-of-way agreement with the State of Colorado, and the security implications of the limited amount of land being considered for the CSOC site by the Air Force.

The Committee agrees with the critical need to provide backup capability for satellite operations presently controlled from the Satellite Test Center in Sunnyvale, Calif. Design of the facility to house both the satellite and shuttle portions of CSOC has reached a stage where construction can advance while additional planning is accomplished concerning mission requirements and computer selection. By providing

funds in fiscal year 1983 for facility construction, the Committee hopes to reduce our vulnerability in single-node satellite operations in the shortest time frame possible.

During Committee hearings in June, the General Accounting Office testified about its report on CSOC which questioned the decision of the Air Force to duplicate for military shuttle missions the software programs that have been developed over the last three decades at NASA's Johnson Space Center. The GAO pointed out that this decision restricts computer procurement competition, perpetuates the use of less cost-effective, older computer languages, and is contrary to directives of the Department of Defense requiring standardization on the modern, high-order language known as Ada. The Air Force is directed to review its computer design and acquisition plans and report back to the Committee on the following concerns: (1) Why software programs for military shuttle operations should not be converted to Ada, including supporting life-cycle cost analyses; (2) what actions the Air Force will take to maximize vendor competition in computer procurement for both satellite and shuttle operations; (3) why 1960's and 1970's software and hardware technologies will be adequate for the 1990's and beyond; (4) what steps the Air Force will take to incorporate state-of-the-art computer hardware in both satellite and shuttle operations; and (5) the adequacy of computer design options available to the Air Force to meet expanding military space requirements well beyond those currently approved. The Air Force is prohibited from taking final action with respect to computer selection prior to responding to the satisfaction of the Committee on these concerns.

The Air Force has not demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Committee that the proposed agreement with the State of Colorado to acquire the site through a right-of-way agreement is sufficient to protect the Government's substantial investment in the planned military complex. The Committee, therefore, directs the Air Force to immediately proceed to acquire the land from the State of Colorado in fee simple interest. Subject to the limitations in the following paragraph, construction may proceed while negotiations with the State of Colorado for the acquisition of the land in fee simple are being finalized.

During Committee hearings, witnesses suggested the need to acquire additional land for future expansion of CSOC and to prevent the familiar pattern of "hemming in" which has hampered security at the Satellite Control Facility in Sunnyvale, Calif., and the Johnson Space Center in Houston, Tex. The Air Force is to determine the availability and cost of acquiring in fee simple (1) the three sections of State-owned land adjacent to the planned site, and (2) additional land surrounding the perimeter of the planned site. The Committee is granting the Air Force's request to proceed with site-specific design of the facility, which has been in abeyance pending the outcome of the Committee's consideration of the GAO report. The Air Force is directed to provide the Committee with its findings on the availability of additional land.

Prior to the obligation of construction funds but not later than December 1, 1982, the Air Force is directed to provide the Committee

with the findings and proposals directly related to all points mentioned in this language. In particular, the Committee stresses the importance it places on the recommendation concerning additional land for CSOC. The Committee will support strongly any proposal to acquire additional parcels.

In addition, the Committee supports the language included in the House report on CSOC. However, the Committee would propose a clarification on the following points on page 39 of the House report:

The Air Force should provide:

(*a*) An updated assessment of the base operations support (BOS) impacts of CSOC on Peterson AFB facilities and services.

(*b*) An analysis of personnel relocation costs and the reduction of missions at existing facilities due to CSOC activation.

(*c*) An operations concept showing the interrelationships between the CSOC and Johnson Space Center in Houston, Tex., and the Satellite Test Center in Sunnyvale, Calif.

Maxwell AFB, Ala., readiness training facility.—The Committee has deferred without prejudice the $7,300,000 requested for this facility. The facility is needed to support the new command readiness exercise system (CRES) training program at the Air War College. The Committee is uncertain as to how such a program is related to training already available at the other service war colleges and/or the National War College. It would seem appropriate that such a system should be used jointly to be cost effective.

Arnold Engineering Development Center, Tennessee, aeropropulstion systems test facility (ASTF).—The Committee supports the House position that this project should be funded as a reprograming. The $42,000,000 budgeted is expected to be the final request to complete the modifications to this one-of-a-kind facility which came under scrutiny last year because of cost growth. The Committee continues to support the need to complete the ASTF; however, it is the Committee's feeling that until the final costs can be identified for this project any requests for funding should be made through the reprograming process.

Edwards AFB, Calif., modify satellite propulsion research facility.—The Committee supports the $5,000,000 requested for this project. The House denied the funding based on a low-design status. The Committee understands that the design is on schedule for a contract award in early 1983.

Vandenberg AFB, Calif., range safety processing center.—The Committee has included $6,200,000 for this project located at Vandenberg's range center. It is estimated that by consolidating computers at one location over $1,000,000 annually could be saved in O. & M. costs.

MacDill AFB, Fla., RDF/REDCOM base support.—This project will provide living and support facilities at MacDill where over $20,000 monthly is being spent to procure commercial off-base quarters for personnel. At this rate, the $2,300,000 requested for the project would be amortized in 5 years.

Europe, various locations, minimum essential facilities.—The Committee is recommending the budget request of $28,600,000 for the facilities

located at the collocated operating bases in Europe. The Committee supports the position taken by the authorization conferees that this be the final request for prefinancing of these NATO eligible facilities.

Worldwide, various locations, contingency facilities improvements.—The Committee recommends the authorized level of $21,000,000 for these projects in support of basing in the Caribbean.

NAS Moffett Field, Calif., dormitory.—The Committee approves the $1,900,000 requested for this project which is a companion of a project requested by the Navy. This facility will support Air Force personnel who work at the satellite control facility and who are not scheduled to be relocated as suggested in the House report.

Sunnyvale AFS, Calif., satellite control facility.—The Committee strongly supports the $11,700,000 requested for this project. The House denied the funding based on the relationship between this facility and the consolidated space operations center (CSOC). The project at Sunnyvale is needed whether or not there is a CSOC.

Whiteman AFB, Mo., small arms range.—The Committee recommends $710,000 for this project which can be obligated during fiscal year 1983.

MacDill AFB, Fla., RDJTF/REDCOM support facilities.—The Committee recommends $17,000,000 for these projects which were requested as a part of the fiscal year 1982 supplemental but were not authorized until considered as a part of the fiscal year 1983 authorization bill. However, none of the funds appropriated for these facilities may be obligated until the issue of the establishment of a unified command has been appropriately resolved and the command is established.

Castle AFB, Calif., Santa Fe access road.—The Committee has deleted the $4,400,000 added by the House for this road. There remains some uncertainty as to whether or not this road is eligible to be funded under the defense access roads program.

Pease AFB, N.H., dining facility.—The Committee supports the House addition of $920,000 for this facility which was authorized in fiscal year 1982.

Oman, various locations, upgrade/construct RDF facilities.—The full budget request of $60,350,000 is supported by the Committee. These funds, which are authorized, will be used to upgrade and construct facilities in support of the rapid deployment force.

Vandenberg AFB, Calif., STS facility modifications.—The Committee recommends $14,800,000 for this project. This is a reduction of $11,700,000 from the budget request. The reduction is based on revised estimates submitted by the Air Force.

Vandenberg AFB, Calif., STS vertical assembly environmental shelter.—The Committee approves $40,000,000 for construction of the STS vertical assembly environmental shelter—commonly called the Shuttle assembly building (SAB)—and agrees with the Department's intention to use a cost plus type contract for construction of this facility.

The Department has shown construction must commence prior to finalization of design to maintain the Vandenberg AFB IOC of October

1985. Concurrent design and construction can only be accommodated through cost plus contracting procedures. This contracting avenue, which will allow construction to commence 6 months earlier than the traditional firm fixed price method, also provides additional benefits such as:

(a) Maximum flexibility in incorporating final design.

(b) Maximum flexibility in incorporating followon Shuttle system generated construction changes.

(c) Least cost, expeditiously negotiated change orders. Contractor's cost estimating procedures are readily and easily available to the contracting officer and department auditors.

(d) Maximum Government control and flexibility in the management of construction. This benefit is particularly important to successfully integrating all ongoing launch complex construciton.

(e) Potential for least total cost given the schedule constraints and dynamics of the launch complex construction.

Military Construction, Defense Agencies

Fiscal year 1982 appropriation	$306,490,000
Fiscal year 1983 request	408,900,000
House allowance	289,145,000
Committee recommendation	305,143,000

The Committee has recommended appropriations totaling $305,143,000 for projects considered within the defense agencies account. The amount recommended reflects an increase of $15,998,000 to the House figure and a decrease of $103,757,000 to the budget request.

Specific program changes reflected in the Committee recommendation are discussed below: (See project listing at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

PROGRAM CHANGES

Fort Meade, Md., NSA Operations Building No. 2.—The Committee supports the House position and recommends $75,500,000 for this important project. The Committee agrees with the House language that each phase of the long-term construction program for facilities at NSA will be considered on its own merit.

Mariana Islands, land lease.—The Committee recommends the $35,000,000 requested for this lease. The House deferred the project pending the outcome of a GAO study on the need for the lease. While the GAO study does raise questions concerning the initial justification of the program, the Committee believes that the Department of Defense has provided adequate information that answers the questions raised by the GAO. The Committee believes it to be in the best interest of national security to approve this request.

American Forces Radio and Television Service, Los Angeles, Calif., renovation of real property.—The House denied this $2,825,000 project because the building in question had not been acquired. The building was purchased in March 1982 and is currently DOD property. Therefore, the Committee recommends the full budget request to allow the renovation of the property to move forward.

U.S. European Command, classified location, war headquarters facility.—The Committee recommends the $13,000,000 requested for this important project. The Committee believes this project is a wise investment and the least costly alternative to other facilities.

Planning and design.—The Committee has restored the $9,000,000 cut by the House for planning and design of defense agencies' projects. The Committee believes that this account must be adequately funded to insure that all projects included in the President's budget are at a level of design which provides firm cost estimates.

Defense Property Disposal Office, Elmendorf AFB, Alaska—Covered storage.—The Committee has deleted the $2,700,000 included by the House for this project because it failed authorization.

Defense schools.—Due to budgetary constraints imposed on the Committee and the uncertainty of the authorization funding for the DOD schools projects, the Committee has deferred without prejudice all projects in this category.

NATO Infrastructure

Fiscal year 1982 appropriation	$345,000,000
Fiscal year 1983 request	375,000,000
House allowance	325,000,000
Committee recommendation	325,000,000

The Committee supports the House position on the NATO infrastructure account and has agreed with the reduction of $50,000,000.

Military Construction, Guard and Reserve Components

Fiscal year 1982 appropriation	$311,371,000
Fiscal year 1983 request	261,500,000
House allowance	285,458,000
Committee recommendation	261,500,000

The Committee recommends $261,500,000 for military construction projects for the Guard and Reserve components. This amount is the same as the budget request and $23,958,000 below the House recommendation.

Individual component recommendations are as follows:

Component	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Army National Guard	$51,100,000	$51,100,000
Air National Guard	106,800,000	106,800,000
Army Reserve	42,800,000	42,800,000
Naval Reserve	25,200,000	25,200,000
Air Force Reserve	35,600,000	35,600,000

Specific program changes reflected in the Committee recommendation are discussed below: (See project listing at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

PROGRAM CHANGES

Exigent minor construction.—The Committee has restored the reductions made by the House to the appropriate accounts for the Army National Guard, Air National Guard, and Army Reserve. The Committee recommends funding these accounts at the budget request level.

Reductions for unbudgeted projects.—The Committee defers without prejudice the following projects which are included in the House recommendation but are not a part of the President's budget nor authorized for appropriation:

Army National Guard:	
Gary, Ind.:	
Armory	$1,800,000
Hazard, Ky.:	
Armory	792,000
Jackson, Ky.:	
Armory	937,000
Boston, Mass.:	
Armories	5,200,000
Jackson, Miss.:	
Armory	822,000
Ardmore, Okla.:	
Armory	801,000
Okemah, Okla.:	
Armory	606,000
Air National Guard:	
Libby Army Airfield, Ariz.:	
Runway, taxiway, apron	12,600,000
Stewart Airport, N.Y.:	
Site preparation	5,000,000
Jet fuel storage	3,000,000
Aircraft parking	2,500,000

Naval and Marine Corps Reserve Training Center, Wheeling, W. Va.—The Committee directs that the Navy proceed immediately with the design of a new Naval and Marine Corps Reserve Center at the recommended site located on U.S. Route 2 in Moundsville, W. Va. This new facility will replace the existing and obsolete facility in Wheeling, W. Va. The Committee further directs that this project be included in the fiscal year 1984 budget request for Naval Reserve military construction.

FAMILY HOUSING

The Defense Department requested approximately $250,000,000 to construct 2,267 new family housing units in fiscal year 1983. At this rate, the average per unit cost is nearly $110,000 which is a substantial increase over the fiscal year 1982 average of about $80,000 per unit. The Committee supports the House language and expresses its own concern over these costs when market conditions indicate a downward trend in construction costs at this time. The costs associated with recent contract awards for family housing would lead the Committee to believe that the Department should more carefully review the data used to determine estimates for new family housing.

The Committee has made reductions in the accounts of each service for new family housing projects. The reductions are made to conform with the reductions made by the authorization committees; however, the Department should carefully consider and act accordingly on the language contained in each of the congressional reports on the military construction budget request for fiscal year 1983.

The Committee supported the project for manufactured housing which was approved by the Congress in fiscal year 1982 at Fort Irwin, Calif. This project will serve as a test of the viability of manufactured versus conventional housing for use by the military. The Committee agrees with the House language on this project and looks forward to reviewing the results of the test during the evaluation period.

The Committee has made reductions throughout the family housing accounts for operation and maintenance. These reductions reflect a conscious decision by the Committee which was made basically because of funding constraints placed on the Committee by the subcommittee allocations contained in the first concurrent budget resolution. The Committee is aware that the recommendations made by the House for many of the accounts are less than that requested. However, after careful review of the requests, the House recommendations, and the appeals made by the Defense Department and taking the budgetary constraints into consideration, the Committee has recommended the same amounts as the House. The one exception to this decision was to reduce the House recommendation for Army maintenance to the budget request level. The Committee believes that through careful management of the funds appropriated the programs planned for fiscal year 1983 can be accomplished.

Construction improvements.—While the Committee has reduced the funding in each of the service accounts in this category, the Committee does not believe that placing a restriction on the amount that can be spent in any given year on any given unit is cost effective. Therefore, the Committee disagrees with the House direction that limits funding to $24,000 annually on foreign-owned family housing units to include funds from the improvements, maintenance, and repair accounts. The House has also included language in each of the service accounts concerning what they consider undesirable projects. The Committee takes exception to all of the recommendations made by the House. The Committee expects to work with the Defense Department and the House to come to agreement on these issues during conference consideration on this bill. Since reductions have been made throughout this section of the bill, it would seem in the best interest of all parties concerned to propose a conference agreement which will provide the most for the limited dollars available.

Operations.—The Committee, as stated earlier, has agreed with the House recommendations for each of the services. Again, through careful management, the Committee believes that programs can be accomplished. In the case of utility costs, the Committee understands the problems faced by the Department. If the funds provided do not appear to be adequate as the fiscal year progresses, the Committee would consider reprograming and/or supplemental funding if necessary.

Leasing.—The Committee strongly supports the House language concerning leasing. While general direction is included in the military construction codification bill (Public Law 97–214) concerning congressional notification on leasing, the language included by the House will allow the Committees on Appropriations to carefully consider leasing costs. In the recent past, the Committees on Appropriations have merely been handed a bill saying these leases must be paid because the agreements were made 2 or 3 years ago. Considering the rising costs for leases, the Committee feels strongly that the lease agreements must be reviewed prior to any contractural obligation.

Maintenance.—As in the improvements accounts, the House has placed a limit on the amount to be spent per unit from the maintenance account. The Committee again disagrees with the House proposal on limitation of per unit costs. The Committee believes that to make the most cost-effective use of the limited funds throughout the family housing operation and maintenance accounts a compromise must be reached. The Committee expects to work with the House and the Defense Department in the maintenance area, as well as the improvements area, to reach an equitable solution.

Reprograming criteria.—The House has established a family housing reprograming rule which would subject the transfer of an amount in excess of 10 percent or $5,000,000, whichever is less, among or between operations, leasing and maintenance to prior approval of the Committee. The Committee believes the $5,000,000 threshold to be extremely limiting, equating to about 2 percent flexibility from budget estimates. Considering that the budget estimates are submitted to Congress 9 months prior to the start of the fiscal year, economic and programmatic conditions can change.

The Committee believes that the reprograming criteria should be based on transfers of $10,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less. This would continue to give the Congress the oversight and control in these area but would avoid the probability of frequent reprograming requests with the inherent delays possible in that process.

Family Housing, Army

Fiscal year 1982 appropriation	$899,400,000
Fiscal year 1983 request	1,091,953,000
House allowance	1,054,610,000
Committee recommendation	995,040,000

The Committee recommends $995,040,000 for family housing, Army in fiscal year 1983 which is $96,913,000 below the budget request and $59,570,000 below the House recommendation.

New construction.—The Committee has approved $117,611 for new construction as follows:

Project and location:	
Fort Irwin, Calif.—114 units	[1] $8,208,000
Alimanu Military Reservation, Hawaii—community center	([1])
Fort Lewis, Wash.—115 units	[1] 8,962,000
Grafenwoehr, Germany—143 units	.....
Hohenfels, Germany—13 units	.....
Wildflecken, Germany—157 units	.....
Aberdeen Proving Grounds, Md.—rehabilitation 160 units	([1])
Construction improvements	95,441,000
Planning	5,000,000

[1] The amounts noted reflect the authorized amount. The projects at Alimanu ($4,100,000) and Aberdeen ($6,080,000) will be considered for funding using available savings after July 1, 1983.

Operation and maintenance account.—In all accounts except maintenance, the Committee has agreed with the House recommendation. As stated earlier in the report, the reductions made by the Committee are recommended due to budgetary constraints. In the maintenance account, the Committee has approved the budget request of $342,639,000. Amounts recommended for individual accounts are noted in the project listing located in the back of this report.

Family Housing, Navy

Fiscal year 1982 appropriation	$613,943,000
Fiscal year 1983 request	796,844,000
House allowance	741,400,000
Committee recommendation	736,230,000

The Committee recommends $736,230,000 for family housing, Navy in fiscal year 1983 which is $60,614,000 below the budget request and $5,170,000 below the House allowance.

New construction.—The Committee approved $98,921,000 for new construction as follows:

Project and location:	
Camp Pendleton, Calif.—104 units	[1] $8,200,000
MCMTC Bridgeport, Calif.—77 units	[1] 8,400,000
NS Long Beach, Calif.—200 units	[1] 16,200,000
NS Mayport, Fla.—land acquisition	[1] 1,000,000
NATC Patuxent River, Md.—1,000 units	([1])
NATC Patuxent River, Md.—250 units	[1] 19,500,000
NS Guantanamo Bay, Cuba—100 units	[1] 11,000,000
Construction improvements	29,121,000
Planning	5,500,000

[1] The amounts noted reflect the authorized amount. The project at NATC Patuxent River provides for 250 new units instead of the renovation of 1,000 old units. To provide for the new funding, a reduction was made from the improvements account which included the renovation funding.

Operation and maintenance account.—In all accounts, the Committee has agreed with the House recommendation. As stated earlier in the report, the reductions made by the Committee from the budget request are being made due to budgetary constraints. Amounts recommended for individual accounts are noted in the project listing located in the back of this report.

Family Housing, Air Force

Fiscal year 1982 appropriation	$800,268,000
Fiscal year 1983 request	989,085,000
House allowance	929,271,000
Committee recommendation	897,171,000

The Committee recommends $897,171,000 for family housing, Air Force in fiscal year 1983 which is $91,914,000 below the budget request and $32,100,000 below the House recommendation.

New construction.—The Committee has approved $110,904,000 for new construction as follows:

Fort MacArthur, Calif.—200 units	[1] $18,000,000
MacDill AFB, Fla.—26 units	([1])
Powell AFB, Wyo.—50 units	[1] 3,465,000
Incirlik AB, Turkey—200 units	[1] 18,000,000
RAF Bentwaters, United Kingdom—300 units	([1])
RAB Greenham Common, United Kingdom—170 units	([1])
Construction improvements	68,939,000
Planning	2,500,000

[1] The amounts noted reflect the authorized amount.

Operation and maintenance account.—In all accounts, the Committee has agreed with the House recommendation. As stated earlier in the report, the reductions made by the Committee are based on budgetary constraints. Amounts recommended for individual accounts are noted in the project listing located in the back of this report.

Family Housing, Defense Agencies

Fiscal year 1982 appropriation	$12,923,000
Fiscal year 1983 request	18,321,000
House allowance	14,233,000
Committee recommendation	14,393,000

The Committee recommends $14,393,000 for family housing, Defense Agencies in fiscal year 1983 which is $3,649,000 below the budget request and $160,000 above the House recommendation.

New construction.—The Committee has provided $560,000 for this account to provide family housing units for the National Security Agency at classified locations. In the improvements account, the $33,000 requested for the Defense Logistic Agency has been approved.

Operation and maintenance account.—In all accounts, the Committee has agreed with the House recommendation. As stated earlier in the report, the reductions made by the Committee are based on budgetary constraints. Amounts recommended for individual accounts are noted in the project listing located in the back of this report.

Homeowners Assistance Fund

The Committee recommends $2,000,000 for the homeowner's assistance funds. This amount agrees with the House position and is the authorized level for this account which insures payment to individuals who are forced to relocate from their homes due to base closure or reductions in the scope of operations.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Committee has made no changes to sections 101 through 108 of the general provisions included in the House bill. Sections 109 and 113 have been deleted as discussed below, and sections 110 through 112 and 114 through 118 have been renumbered.

Section 109.—The Committee has deleted this provision because it is a duplication of a similar provision included in the Military Construction Codification Act (Public Law 97–214).

Section 113.—The Committee has deleted this provision which applies to the MX missile program. This action was discussed earlier in the report under the section entitled MX missile program.

Section 117.—This general provision has been added by the Committee to clarify the intent of Congress as it applies to the steamplant at Norfolk, Va., Naval Ship Yard. The Committee has recommended funding at $33,000,000 for the project. The provision clarifies the intent of Congress, detailed in 10 United States Code section 2394 and section 201 of the Military Construction Authorization Act of 1983, that the Navy is authorized to proceed with a refuse derived fuel-fired powerplant facility and to sell any surplus electricity generated therefrom at the Norfolk Naval Ship Yard. The Navy intends to sell the excess electricity to the Virginia Electric & Power Co. (VEPCO) to make the operation of the Navy's generating plant more efficient and facilitate the Navy's national defense mission. In addition, as a result of this provision, the adjusted sales proceeds will be used as a credit against the miscellaneous receipts of the Treasury.

In summary, the Navy's installation of the plant and supporting fuel facility, as well as the sale of surplus electric power generated at the Norfolk facility, will reduce the Government's cost of operating the facility, assist in the Nation's energy conservation programs, reduce dependence on foreign oil and make an important contribution to the national defense.

Section 118.—The Committee has included a general provision prohibiting the use of funds for the sale, lease, or rental of any portion of land currently identified as Fort DeRussy, Hawaii.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
ALABAMA			
ARMY			
FORT MCCLELLAN			
DECONTAMINATION TRAINING FACILITY	7,500	7,500	7,500
FORT RUCKER			
BARRACKS	11,700	11,700	11,700
COMPANY ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY	2,100	2,100	2,100
DINING FACILITY	1,650	1,650	1,650
TRAINING FACILITIES	2,300	2,300	2,300
AIR FORCE			
MAXWELL AFB			
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	7,850	7,850	7,850
READINESS TRAINING FAC	7,300	7,300	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
VERNON			
100 PERSON ARMORY	565	565	565
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
BIRMINGHAM MAP			
SUPPORT EQUIP SHOP	650	650	650
DANNELLY FIELD			
FIRE SUPPR SYSTEM	300	300	300
MUNITIONS MAINT & STORAGE FAC	980	980	980
WPNS REL SYS SHOP/ECM STORAGE	1,590	1,590	1,590
ARMY RESERVE			
REDSTONE ARSENAL			
600-MEMBER ARC/OMS/AMSA	3,187	3,187	3,187
TOTAL, ALABAMA	47,672	47,672	40,372
ALASKA			
ARMY			
FT J M WAINWRIGHT			
CONTROL TOWER	1,670	1,670	1,670
RANGE AND TRAINING FACILITY IMPROVEMENT	1,350	1,350	1,350
NAVY			
NF ADAK			
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	1,900	1,900	1,900
NS ADAK			
OIL SPILL PREVENTION	580	580	580
AIR FORCE			
EIELSON AFB			
ADD TO & ALT DATA PROCESSING FAC	1,480	1,480	1,480
ALT ACFT NOSE DOCK	410	410	410
SUPPORT EQUIP SHOP	5,100	5,100	5,100
ELMENDORF AFB			
ALT UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HOUSING	4,800	4,800	4,800
GALENA APT			
POL OPNS FAC	2,050	2,050	2,050

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
SHEMYA AFB			
ACFT INSTR LANDING SYS	1,200	1,200	1,200
VARIOUS LOCATIONS			
MIN ATTENDED RADAR (PH 11)	43,600	43,600	43,600
DEFENSE AGENCIES			
DEF PROPERTY DISPOSAL OFF ELMENDORF AFB			
COVERED STORAGE	2,700	2,700	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
ANCHORAGE			
600 PERSON ARMORY	3,863	3,863	3,863
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
KOLIS IAP			
OPNL TRAINING FAC	3,180	3,180	3,180
TOTAL, ALASKA	73,883	73,883	71,183
ARIZONA			
ARMY			
FORT HUACHUCA			
CHILD CARE CENTER	2,600	2,600	---
ELECTROMAGNETIC TEST FACILITY	4,950	---	4,950
NAVY			
MCAS YUMA			
DINING FAC MODN	720	720	720
AIR FORCE			
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB			
AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS	1,600	1,600	1,600
OSH-ACFT CORROSION CONTROL FAC	1,850	1,850	1,850
SMALL ACFT MAINT DOCK	3,850	3,850	3,850
WATER SUPPLY STORAGE & DISTR SYS	4,650	4,650	4,650
GILA BEND			
GYMNASIUM	1,700	1,700	---
LUKE AFB			
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	650	650	650
SEC POLICE OPNS FAC	1,000	1,000	1,000
VAR OPNL/MAINT FAC	510	510	510
WILLIAMS AFB			
LOGISTICS COMPLEX	6,100	6,100	6,100
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	2,600	2,600	2,600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
KINGMAN			
ARMORY ADDITION	589	589	589
SUNNYSLOPE			
ARMORY ADDITION	657	657	657
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
SKY HARBOR IAP			
CONSTRUCT RUNWAY OVERRUN	1,440	1,440	1,440
LIBBY ARMY AIR FIELD, FT. HUACHUA			
RUNWAY, TAXIWAY APRON	---	12,600	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
LUKE AFB			
APRON	970	970	970
COMPOSITE MAINT FAC	3,030	3,030	3,030
SQUAD OPS/GROUP OPNS & TRNG	2,800	2,800	2,800
TOTAL, ARIZONA	42,266	49,916	37,966
ARKANSAS			
ARMY			
PINE BLUFF ARSENAL			
BINARY MUNITIONS FACILITY	18,300	---	7,920
CONTAIN HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL RUNOFF	1,350	1,350	1,350
AIR FORCE			
BLYTHEVILLE AFB			
ALT UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	1,350	---	---
COMPOSITE SUPPORT EQ & ORG MAINT SHOP	---	1,533	---
UEPH	---	3,600	---
UEPH	---	3,600	---
LITTLE ROCK AFB			
ADD/ALT CHILD CARE CENTER	570	570	---
CORROSION CONTROL FAC	3,000	3,000	3,000
UPGRADE SEWAGE TREATMENT PLT	2,500	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
CAMP ROBINSON			
ARMORY ADDITION	220	220	220
DLOG	254	254	254
FORT CHAFFEE			
RANGES	670	670	670
TOTAL, ARKANSAS	28,214	14,797	13,414
CALIFORNIA			
ARMY			
CAMP ROBERTS			
SATELLITE CONTROL FACILITY	2,050	2,050	2,050
FT IRWIN			
COMPANY ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY	2,400	2,400	2,400
DINING FACILITY	2,550	2,550	2,550
MODERNIZE MISCELLANEOUS FACILITIES	2,000	2,000	---
OUTDOOR PLAYING COURTS AND FIELDS	2,250	2,250	---
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	5,500	5,500	---
ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	13,000	13,000	13,000
TRAINING RANGES	1,540	1,540	1,540
VEHICLE WASH FACILITIES	2,100	2,100	2,100
FORT ORD			
BARRACKS	2,200	2,200	2,200
COMPANY ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY	550	550	550
CORRECT SAFETY DEFECIENCIES	2,100	2,100	2,100

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
HARDSTAND EXPANSION	1,400	1,400	1,400
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	990	990	990
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	3,200	3,200	3,200
TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC	5,800	5,800	5,800
PRESIDIO OF SAN FRANCISCO			
HEATING AND LIGHTING CONTROLS	460	460	460
NAVY			
FASWTC SAN DIEGO			
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BLDG	3,950	3,950	3,950
MCAS EL TORO			
UEPH MOD	7,200	7,200	7,200
MCAS/H TUSTIN CA			
GSE SHOP	2,400	2,400	2,400
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FAC	800	800	800
WAREHOUSE	4,950	4,950	4,950
MCB CAMP PENDLETON			
ARMORIES	3,400	3,400	3,400
DINING FACILITY ADDN	1,000	1,000	1,000
SMALL ARMS RANGE-OUTDOOR	3,750	3,750	3,750
TACTICAL VEH MAINT SHOPS	4,850	4,850	4,850
TELEPHONE EXCHANGE BLDG	1,750	1,750	1,750
UEPH	9,715	8,000	8,000
UEPH MWTC	10,500	9,000	9,000
MCRD SAN DIEGO			
ARMORY	2,700	2,700	2,700
DINING FAC	3,400	3,400	3,400
UEPH	21,000	21,000	21,000
NARF ALAMEDA			
FAC ENERGY IMPR	1,400	1,400	1,400
NARF NORTH IS			
MANUFACTURE/REPAIR SHOP	18,500	---	18,500
NAS ALAMEDA			
CHILD CARE CENTER	1,750	1,750	---
SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL SYS	1,900	1,900	1,900
NAS LEMOORE			
AIRFRAMES SHOP	3,400	3,400	3,400
FAMILY SERVICES CENTER	920	920	---
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FAC	5,600	---	5,600
NAS MOFFETT FIELD			
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BLDG	4,950	4,950	4,950
FAMILY SERVICES CENTER	400	400	---
UEPH	6,850	---	6,850
NAS NORTH IS			
AIRCRAFT INTERM MAINT FAC	3,870	3,870	3,870
HEAT VENT AIR CONDITION	320	320	320
MAINT HANGAR AND APRON	19,000	19,000	19,000
UEPH	8,200	8,200	8,200
NATPARATESTR EL CENTRO			
LIGHTING SYSTEMS	370	370	370

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project	DOD request	House allowance	Committee recommendation
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
NCBC PORT HUENEME			
CHILD CARE CENTER	890	890	---
LIGHTING SYSTEMS	190	190	190
NPGS MONTEREY			
BOILER PLANT MODS	550	550	550
NRMC LONG BEACH			
MED CLINIC SAN NICHOLAS	700	700	700
NRMC OAKLAND			
MED AND DENTAL CLINIC-MARE ISLAND	9,700	9,700	9,700
NS SAN DIEGO			
DRYDOCK MOORING	24,000	24,000	24,000
LAND ACQUISITION	3,300	3,300	3,300
NSA SAN FRANCISCO			
CHILD CARE CENTER	1,400	1,400	---
LIGHTING SYSTEMS IMPROVES	270	270	270
NSC SAN DIEGO			
GENERAL WAREHOUSE	4,430	4,430	4,430
NSWSES - PORT HUENEME			
GUIDED MISSILE LAB	7,800	---	7,800
NSY VALLEJO MARE IS			
BREATH AIR SYS SAFE IMPROV	2,250	2,250	2,250
COMPRESSED AIR BREATHING DISTR SYSTEM	---	3,400	---
ELECTICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM ADDITION	---	3,500	---
NWC CHINA LAKE			
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION LINES	700	---	700
ENGINEERING LAB	8,700	8,700	8,700
NWS SEAL BEACH			
MISSILE EQUIPMENT SHOP	628	628	628
PERSUPPACT SAN FRANCISCO			
PASS OFFICE-NAS LEMOORE	1,700	1,700	1,700
PHIBSC SDIEGO CORONADO			
APPLIED INSTRUCT BLDG ADDN	380	380	380
PMTC POINT MUGU			
UEPH MODN-FIRE PROT SYS	190	190	190
PWC SAN DIEGO			
FACILITY ENERGY IMPR	850	850	850
PWC SAN FRANCISCO			
SEWERAGE SYSTEM	9,800	9,800	9,800
SEWERAGE SYSTEM	950	950	950
SIMA SAN DIEGO			
INTERMEDIATE MAINT FAC	14,100	14,100	14,100
AIR FORCE			
BEALE AFB			
ALT JET FUEL STORAGE	510	510	510
DATA PROC FAC	1,345	1,345	1,345
SATELLITE COMM GROUND TERMINAL	1,250	1,250	1,250
CASTLE AFB			
ALT JET FUEL STORAGE	750	750	750

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
EDWARDS AFB			
EDUC CENTER	820	820	---
FLT TEST SUPPORT COMPLEX	3,600	3,600	3,600
MOD SATELLITE PROPULSION RESEARCH FAC	5,000	---	5,000
MUNITIONS AREA UPGRADE	1,950	1,950	1,950
SEC POLICE OPNS FAC	1,550	1,550	1,550
GEORGE AFB			
ALT JET FUEL STORAGE	510	510	510
DATA PROC FAC	1,400	1,400	1,400
FT MACARTHUR			
ALT UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	750	750	750
ALT UNACCOMP OFFICER PERS HSG	1,900	1,900	1,900
CONVERT TO CHAPEL	510	510	---
MARCH AFB			
ALT DIVISION HQ	550	550	---
DATA PROC FAC	1,550	1,550	1,550
MATHER AFB			
ADD/ALT DATA PROC FAC	580	580	580
ALT JET FUEL STORAGE	600	600	600
MCCLELLAN AFB			
ADD/ALT JET FUEL STORAGE FAC	510	510	510
INTEGRATION SUPPORT FAC	9,000	9,000	9,000
OSH-DEPOT MAINT FAC FIRE PROTECTION	800	800	800
MOFFETT			
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	1,900	---	1,900
NORTON AFB			
ADD TO JET FUEL STORAGE	1,300	1,300	1,300
ADD TO PETROLEUM OPNS FAC	420	420	420
SUNNYVALE AFS			
ADD/ALT SATELLITE CONTROL FAC	11,700	---	11,700
TRAVIS AFB			
CHAPEL CENTER	2,400	2,400	---
VANDENBERG AFB			
DATA PROC FAC	1,550	1,550	1,550
HYPERGOLIC STOCKPILE STORAGE FAC	4,900	4,900	4,900
POL OPNS FAC	350	350	350
RANGE SAFETY PROC CENTER	6,200	---	6,200
SEC POLICE OPNS FAC	2,559	2,559	2,559
STS-FACILITY MODIFICATIONS	26,500	10,500	14,800
STS-VERTICAL ASSY ENVIRONMENTAL SHELTER	40,000	40,000	40,000
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	4,100	4,100	4,100
VEH MAINT SHOP	1,300	1,300	1,300
VISITING OFFICER QUARTERS	4,350	4,350	4,350
DEFENSE AGENCIES			
ARMED FORCES INFO SERV - LOS ANGELES			
RADIO & TELEVISION STUDIO	2,825	---	2,825
DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT OZOL			
AIR POLUTION ABATEMENT	1,000	1,000	1,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
PRESIDIO OF MONTEREY			
GENERAL INSTRUCTION FACILITY	7,500	7,500	7,500
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERS HOUSING	23,500	23,500	23,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
LOS ALAMITOS			
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	537	537	537
SACRAMENTO			
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	355	355	355
STOCKTON			
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	680	680	680
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
MARCH AFB			
ROCKET STORAGE CHECKOUT & ASSEMBLY FAC	2,750	2,750	2,750
MOFFETT NAS			
MEDICAL TRNG & ADMIN FAC	700	700	700
PARACHUTE & DINGHY SHOP/PARARESCUE OPNS	1,440	1,440	1,440
ARMY RESERVE			
SANTA BARBARA			
ALTER 100-MBR ARC/OMS	702	702	702
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
MATHER AFB			
COMPOSITE TRNG FAC	985	985	985
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA	490,301	410,911	455,996
COLORADO			
ARMY			
FORT CARSON			
ACCESS ROAD	1,850	1,850	1,850
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS WITH CLASSROOMS	1,450	1,450	1,450
COMBINED RANGE	2,500	2,500	2,500
COMPANY ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY	440	440	440
COMPANY ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY	1,750	1,750	1,750
FENCING	880	---	880
RAIL LOADING FACILITIES	2,800	2,800	2,800
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,550	1,550	1,550
FITZSIMONS ARMY MEDICAL CENTER			
BARRACKS	3,600	3,600	3,600
ENERGY CONSERVATION-SERIES 600 BUILDINGS	850	850	850
INSULATE BUILDINGS	550	550	550
AIR FORCE			
BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE			
SATELLITE COMM GROUND TERMINAL	1,100	1,100	1,100
LOWRY AFB			
DATA PROC FAC	1,750	1,750	1,750
TECHNICAL TRAINING FAC	11,300	11,300	11,300
NORAD CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX			
ADD TO FIRE PROTECTION SYS	1,900	1,900	1,900
NORAD POWER UPGRADE	11,000	11,000	11,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
PETERSON AFB			
CONSOL SPACE OPNS CENTER (PH 11)	67,700	67,700	67,700
US AIR FORCE ACADEMY			
AIRMANSHIP TRAINING FAC	3,000	3,000	3,000
ALT COMMUNITY SUPPORT CENTER	600	600	600
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	900	900	900
OSH-FIRE DETECTION SYS	950	950	950
OSH-SAFETY GUARDS	630	630	630
VISITORS CENTER	3,050	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
PETERSON FIELD			
COMM/ELECT TRNG COMPLEX	5,150	5,150	5,150
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
PETERSON FIELD			
MAINT/FUEL SYS DOCK	5,630	5,630	5,630
TOTAL, COLORADO	132,880	128,950	129,830
CONNECTICUT			
NAVY			
NSB NEW LONDON			
WTRFRT FAC IMPR AND LAND ACQ	5,900	5,900	5,900
TOTAL, CONNECTICUT	5,900	5,900	5,900
DELAWARE			
AIR FORCE			
DOVER AFB			
ALT ACFT MAINT SHOP	550	550	550
ALT HEATING PLANT	2,500	2,500	2,500
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	250	250	250
TOTAL, DELAWARE	3,300	3,300	3,300
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
ARMY			
WALTER REED ARMY MED CTR			
HEATING AND COOLING UPGRADE	9,800	9,800	9,800
NAVY			
COMNAVDIST WASH			
ADMIN OFFICE MODERN	14,200	---	---
PUBLIC WORKS FACILITY	1,200	---	---
NAVSECSTA WASHINGTON			
WEATHERIZATION	750	750	750
NRL ANACOSTIA			
ELECTRONICS LABORATORY	1,800	1,800	1,800
AIR FORCE			
BOLLING AFB			
ADMINISTRATION FAC	4,200	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
NAVY RESERVE			
NAF WASHINGTON			
UPGRADE AVIONICS	500	500	500
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	32,450	12,850	12,850
FLORIDA			
NAVY			
NARF JACKSONVILLE			
HEAT VENT AND AIR CONDITION	280	280	280
NAS CECIL			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACS	2,500	2,500	2,500
UEPH	6,800	6,800	6,800
NAS JACKSONVILLE			
RECEIVING SHIPPING FAC	150	150	150
NAS KEY WEST			
HEATING VENT AIR CONDITION	180	180	180
NAS PENSACOLA			
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVE	1,400	1,400	1,400
TRAINING MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	4,000	4,000	4,000
NCSC PANAMA CITY			
VENTILATION IMPROVES	340	340	340
NS MAYPORT			
FAMILY SERVICES CENTER	650	650	---
INT MAINT FAC	---	7,700	---
MAINT HANGER	---	12,600	---
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FACS	9,000	9,000	9,000
UTILITIES SYS EXPANSION	6,800	6,800	6,800
NTC ORLANDO			
HEAT VENT AIR CONDITION	2,700	2,700	2,700
UTILITIES IMPROVEMENTS	2,800	2,800	2,800
NTTC PENSACOLA			
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BLDG	4,450	4,450	4,450
NAS WHITING FIELD			
AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS ADD	---	---	---
AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS IMPR	---	3,150	---
AIR FORCE			
CAPE CANAVERAL AFS			
ALT VARIOUS STS FAC	15,700	9,100	9,100
EGLIN AFB			
ACFT OPNL FAC	3,500	3,500	3,500
ADD TO EXPLOSIVE PROC FAC	340	340	340
ADD TO HEAVY EQUIP MAINT SHOP	1,050	1,050	1,050
ECM POD STORAGE	510	510	510
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	1,600	1,600	---
PHOTO OPTICS MAINT FAC	2,850	2,850	2,850
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FAC	570	570	570
COMPOSITE MANAGEMENT FAC	---	11,000	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
EGLIN AFB AUX 9			
ACFT GENERAL PURPOSE SHOP	3,000	3,000	3,000
ADD/ALT RECREATION CENTER	1,200	1,200	---
HOMESTEAD AFB			
DATA PROC FAC	1,500	1,500	1,500
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	260	260	260
MACDILL AFB			
DATA PROC FAC	1,400	1,400	1,400
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	660	660	660
RDF/REDCOM BASE	2,300	---	2,300
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FAC	600	600	600
RDF FACILITIES (FY 82s)	---	11,100	17,000
TYNDALL AFB			
REGIONAL SEWER CONNECTION	2,750	2,750	2,750
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	3,550	3,550	3,550
PETROLEUM OPERATIONS COMPLEX	---	5,240	---
NAVY RESERVE			
NMCRC ORLANDO			
RESERVE TRNG BLDG ADDN	1,650	1,650	1,650
TOTAL, FLORIDA	87,040	128,930	93,990
GEORGIA			
ARMY			
FORT BENNING			
INFANTRY/CAVALRY FIGHTING VEHICLE RANGES	15,500	15,500	15,500
RECEPTION STAT BARRACKS	---	9,700	---
TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC	---	1,050	---
POSTAL FACILITY	---	270	---
FORT GORDON			
SIGNAL CORPS HQ	---	9,200	---
FORT MCPHERSON			
FORSCOM COMMAND & CONTROL FAC	---	41,000	---
FT STEWART/HUNTER AAF			
BARRACKS	5,800	5,800	5,800
COMPANY ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY	580	580	580
COMPANY ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY	520	520	520
RANGE UPGRADE	1,950	1,950	1,950
ROAD NET AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT	8,300	8,300	8,300
SEWERAGE SYSTEM EXTENSION	1,250	1,250	1,250
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,000	1,000	1,000
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	5,700	5,700	5,700
WAREHOUSE	540	540	540
WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM EXTENSION	1,000	1,000	1,000
NAVY			
SUBSUPPBASE KINGS BAY			
DREDGING	14,800	14,800	14,800
ENLISTED DINING FACILITY	3,900	3,900	3,900
LAND ACQUISTION	750	750	750

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
PW SUPPORT FACILITIES	530	530	530
UEPH	3,600	3,600	3,600
UTILITIES/SITE IMPROVE	124,700	124,700	124,700
AIR FORCE			
MOODY AFB			
SMALL ACFT MAINT DOCK	2,550	2,550	2,550
UEPH	---	4,000	---
ROBINS AFB			
JET FUEL STORAGE	4,700	4,700	4,700
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
DOBBINS			
400 PERSON ARMORY	1,756	1,756	1,756
SPRINGFIELD			
ARMORY ADDITION	248	248	248
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
SAVANNAH IAP			
MUNITIONS STORAGE FAC	410	410	410
ARMY RESERVE			
DUBLIN			
300-MBR ARC/OMS	1,892	1,892	1,892
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
DOBBINS AFB			
ALTER CONTROL TOWER	465	465	465
TOTAL, GEORGIA	202,441	267,661	202,441
HAWAII			
ARMY			
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS			
BARRACKS WITH DINING FACILITY	17,800	---	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	2,930	2,930	2,930
NAVY			
MCAS KANEOHE			
AIRCRAFT RINSE FACILITY	200	200	200
RADAR OPERATIONAL FACILITY	1,450	1,450	1,450
NAVCAMS EPAC HONOLULU			
CHAPEL	950	---	950
SAT COMM BLDG ADD	1,800	1,800	1,800
STAND-BY GENERATOR PLANT	4,500	4,500	4,500
NS PEARL HARBOR			
ELEC/COMM MAINT SHOP	1,900	1,900	1,900
UEPH	12,500	12,500	12,500
NSY PEARL HARBOR			
ELECTRICAL SYS SAFE IMPROVE	530	530	530
PWC PEARL HARBOR			
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVE	550	550	550
SIMA PEARL HARBOR			
INTERMEDIATE MAINT FAC	7,000	7,000	7,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project	DOD request	House allowance	Committee recommendation
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
AIR FORCE			
HICKAM AFB			
ACFT CORROSION CONTROL FAC	410	410	410
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
HILO			
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	1,253	1,253	1,253
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
WHEELER AFB			
ACFT CONTROL & WARNING SYS	3,800	3,800	3,800
TOTAL, HAWAII	57,573	38,823	39,773
IDAHO			
AIR FORCE			
MOUNTAIN HOME AFB			
ACFT MAINT AREA LIGHTING	940	940	940
ACFT MAINT UNIT FAC	1,700	1,700	1,700
ADD TO FIELD TRNG FAC	750	750	750
ADD TO GYMNASIUM	2,650	2,650	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
GOWEN FIELD			
MOVING TARGET RANGE	220	220	220
TANK TABLES VII & VIII	275	275	275
TOTAL, IDAHO	6,535	6,535	3,885
ILLINOIS			
ARMY			
ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL			
ADDITIONAL ELECTRICAL POWER BUILDING 208	630	630	630
SAVANNA ARMY DEPOT			
INSULATE BUILDINGS	710	710	710
NAVY			
NTC GREAT LAKES			
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVES	1,850	1,850	1,850
PWC GREAT LAKES			
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVE	3,400	3,400	3,400
AIR FORCE			
CHANUTE AFB			
ADD/ALT WATER TREATMENT PLT	910	910	910
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	8,160	8,160	8,160
GYMNASIUM	3,300	3,300	---
VEPH	---	10,800	---
SCOTT AFB			
COMPOSITE MEDICAL FAC UPGRADE	1,400	1,400	1,400
SECURITY VAULT	400	400	400
VEH MAINT COMPLEX	3,450	3,450	3,450

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project	DOD request	House allowance	Committee recommendation
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
ARMY RESERVE			
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS			
600-MEMBER ARC/OMS	4,899	4,899	4,899
NAVY RESERVE			
NAS GLENVIEW			
STORM WINDOWS VARIOUS BLDG	367	367	367
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
CAPITAL MUNICIPAL AIRPORT			
FIRE SUPPR SYSTEM	400	400	400
ECIP	524	524	524
TOTAL, ILLINOIS	30,400	41,200	27,100
INDIANA			
NAVY			
NAVWPNSUPPCEN CRANE			
ELECTRONICS/COMMUNICATIONS SHOP	350	---	---
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVE	800	800	800
SHIP WEAPON SYS LAB	600	---	---
VENTILATION IMPS	240	240	240
AIR FORCE			
GRISSOM AFB			
ALT DATA PROC FAC	270	270	270
VEPH	---	3,400	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
HULMAN FIELD			
COMPOSITE FUEL STORAGE & SUPPORT FAC	790	790	790
COMPOSITE SQUADRON OPNS FAC	2,000	2,000	2,000
FT WAYNE MAP			
BASE ENGINEER MAINT FAC	1,120	1,120	1,120
FIRE SUPPR SYSTEM	400	400	400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
GARY			
ARMORY	---	1,800	---
ARMY RESERVE			
RICHMOND			
200-MBR ARC	1,522	1,522	1,522
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
GRISSOM AFB			
WPNS RELEASE & ELEC COUNTERMEASURES POD SH	1,585	1,585	1,585
TOTAL, INDIANA	9,677	13,927	8,727

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project	DOD request	House allowance	Committee recommendation
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
IOWA			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
SIOUX CITY MAP			
AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYS	560	560	560
FIRE SUPPR SYSTEM	400	400	400
TOTAL, IOWA	960	960	960
KANSAS			
ARMY			
FORT LEAVENWORTH			
ADDITION TO BELL HALL	4,200	4,200	4,200
GYMNASIUM	3,400	3,400	---
HOSPITAL UPGRADE	13,600	13,600	13,600
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER QUARTERS	23,000	---	---
AIR FORCE			
MCCONNELL AFB			
DINING HALL	3,000	3,000	3,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
MCCONNELL AFB			
ADD TO ACFT PARKING APRON	1,140	1,140	1,140
ADD/ALT COMP SQ OPNS/ACADEMIC TRNG FAC	2,730	2,730	2,730
COMPOSITE ACFT MAINT HANGAR	5,200	5,200	5,200
POWER CHECK PAD WITH SUPPRES	500	500	500
TOTAL, KANSAS	56,770	33,770	30,370
KENTUCKY			
ARMY			
FORT CAMPBELL			
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	2,850	2,850	2,850
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	7,800	7,800	7,800
FORT KNOX			
CORRECT SAFETY DEFICIENCIES	2,500	2,500	2,500
SANITARY LANDFILL	3,000	3,000	3,000
SITE PREPARATION AND HARDSTAND	680	680	680
TANK DRIVING COURSE	2,900	2,900	2,900
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
HAZARD			
150 PERSON ARMORY	---	792	---
JACKSON			
150 PERSON ARMORY	---	937	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
STANDIFORD FLD			
SECURITY POLICE OPNS FAC	430	430	430

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
NAVY RESERVE			
NMCRC LOUISVILLE			
COMBAT VEHICLE MAINT SHOP	300	300	300
TOTAL, KENTUCKY	20,469	22,189	20,460
LOUISIANA			
ARMY			
FORT POLK			
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS AND CLASSROOM	2,450	2,450	2,450
COMPANY ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY	4,300	4,300	4,300
CORRECT SAFETY DEFICIENCIES	390	390	390
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	2,950	2,950	2,950
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,650	1,640	1,640
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	5,500	5,500	5,500
AIR FORCE			
BARKSDALE AFB			
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	650	650	650
ENGLAND AFB			
AMMO MAINT SHOP	790	790	790
ENTOMOLOGY SHOP	380	380	380
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
LAKE CHARLES			
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	228	228	228
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
NEW ORLEANS NAS			
ADD/ALT MUNITIONS STORAGE FAC	500	500	500
ARMY RESERVE			
NEW ORLEANS			
MOD/ADD TO 400-MEMBER ARC/OMS	1,590	1,590	1,590
NAVY RESERVE			
NMCRC NEW ORLEANS			
COMBAT VEHICLE MAINT SHOP	270	270	270
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
BARKSDALE AFB			
CONVERT TO FUEL SYS MAINT FAC	700	700	700
NEW ORLEANS NAS			
FLIGHT SIMULATOR	1,100	1,100	1,100
TOTAL, LOUISIANA	23,448	23,438	23,438
MAINE			
NAVY			
NAS BRUNSWICK			
FAMILY SERVICES CENTER	840	840	---
NSGA WINTER HARBOR			
OCEAN SURV BLDG IMPROVES	930	930	930

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
NSY PORTSMOUTH			
ELEC SHOP MODN	2,200	2,200	2,200
MACHINE/CENTRAL TOOL SHOP MOD	---	---	---
STEAMPLANT IMPROVEMENTS	---	900	---
AIR FORCE			
LORING AFB			
ADD/ALT OFFENSIVE AVIONICS FAC	560	560	560
ADV WASTE TREATMENT FAC	3,500	3,500	3,500
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	1,220	1,220	1,220
HEAT PLANT ALTERATION	33,700	33,700	33,700
VARIOUS LOCATIONS			
OTH-B OPNL SUPPORT FAC	7,200	7,200	1,200
TOTAL, MAINE	50,150	51,050	43,310
MARYLAND			
ARMY			
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND			
TRAINING FACILITIES	9,400	9,400	9,400
BARRACKS	---	18,100	---
FT DETRICK			
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION - BUILDING 1681	650	650	650
COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM FACILITIES	1,700	1,700	1,700
FORT GEORGE G MEADE			
SATELLITE CONTROL FACILITY	2,500	2,500	2,500
NAVY			
NAVACAD ANNAPOLIS			
BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	2,500	2,500	2,500
HEAT VENT AIR CONDITION	3,200	3,200	3,200
PHYSICAL EDUCATION FACS MODN	11,200	11,200	11,200
NAVEODFAC INDIAN HEAD			
HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINE	600	600	600
NAVSURWPNCEN WHITE OAK			
SEWERAGE SYSTEM	1,700	1,700	1,700
SHIPBD ENVIRO SIM FAC	4,000	---	---
NOS INDIAN HEAD			
WEATHERIZATION	250	250	250
AIR FORCE			
ANDREWS AFB			
ADD TO CHILD CARE CENTER	1,050	1,050	---
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	6,050	6,050	6,050
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERS HSG	6,100	6,100	6,100
DEFENSE AGENCIES			
AURORA			
AURORA COVERED STORAGE FACILITY	200	200	200
DMA HYDROGRAPHIC/TOPOGRAPHIC CENTER			
MEZZANINE CONSTRUCTION	990	990	990
NSA FT MEADE			
OPERATIONS BLDG 2A & B	75,500	75,500	75,500

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project	DOD request	House allowance	Committee recommendation
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
ANDREWS AFB			
ANG SUPPORT CENTER	8,000	8,000	8,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
AURORA			
CONV TO FUELS SYS MAINT DOCK	900	900	900
TOTAL, MARYLAND	136,490	150,590	131,440
MASSACHUSETTS			
ARMY			
FORT DEVENS			
GYMNASIUM ADDITION	490	490	---
OBSERVED FIRE TRAINER	320	320	320
TRAINING AREA	3,200	3,200	3,200
AIR FORCE			
HANSCOM AFB			
ALT BASE ACCESS ROADS	570	570	570
EDUC CENTER/LIBRARY	1,550	---	---
SYS MGT ENG FAC (PH II)	9,100	9,100	9,100
OTIS ANG BASE			
A1CUZ	2,340	2,340	2,340
SATELLITE COMM GROUND TERMINAL	1,100	1,100	1,100
WESTOVER AFR BASE			
A1CUZ	2,700	2,700	2,700
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
CAMP EDWARDS			
UNIT TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE MODIFICATION	245	245	245
BOSTON			
ARMORIES	---	5,200	---
ARMY RESERVE			
DEVENS			
EXP TO 1000-MBR ARC/OMS	4,383	4,383	4,383
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
OTIS AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE			
POWER CHECK PAD WITH SUPPRESSORS	500	500	500
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
WESTOVER AFB			
AGE SHOP/STORAGE	270	270	270
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS	26,768	30,418	24,728
MICHIGAN			
AIR FORCE			
K I SAWYER AFB			
ACFT ORG MAINT SHOP	1,600	1,600	1,600
UPGRADE SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT	2,850	2,850	2,850

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project	DOD request	House allowance	Committee recommendation
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
WURTSMITH AFB			
ADD/ALT SUPPORT EQUIP SHOP	750	750	750
WASTE TREATMENT FAC	1,800	1,800	1,800
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
CAMP GRAYLING			
LOGISTICS FACILITY	2,560	2,560	2,560
MUSKEGON			
200 PERSON ARMORY	659	659	659
MOTOR VEHICLE STORAGE BUILDING	486	486	486
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	230	230	230
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
SELFRIDGE ANGB			
ALT HANGAR FOR WPN & REL SYS SHOP/COUNTERM	800	800	800
POWER CHECK PAD WITH SUPPRESSORS	500	500	500
KELLOGG REGIONAL AIRPORT			
FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM	400	400	400
ARMY RESERVE			
DETROIT (STROH)			
EXP TO 600-MBR ARC	2,783	2,783	2,783
TOTAL, MICHIGAN	15,418	15,418	15,418
MINNESOTA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
NEW BRIGHTON			
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	191	191	191
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
DULUTH IAP			
COMB TELECOM/SEC POLICE OPNS FAC	970	970	970
MINN-ST PAUL			
AERIAL PORT TRNG FAC	1,020	1,020	1,020
TOTAL, MINNESOTA	2,181	2,181	2,181
MISSISSIPPI			
NAVY			
NCBC GULFPORT			
FAMILY SERVICES CENTER	420	420	---
NAS MERIDIAN			
DINING FAC ADDITION	---	820	---
AIR FORCE			
COLUMBUS AFB			
ADD/ALT DATA PROC FAC	820	820	820
CENTRALIZED ACFT SUPPORT SYS (CASS)	1,150	1,150	1,150
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	400	400	400
KEESLER AFB			
ALT ELEC DISTR SYS-PH II	700	700	700
ALT UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	7,500	7,500	7,500

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	270	270	270
OSH-FIRE SUPPRESSION SYS	1,500	1,500	1,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
JACKSON			
400 PERSON ARMORY	---	822	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
KEY FIELD			
FUEL SYS MAINT/CORROSION CONTROL DOCK	1,300	1,300	1,300
ARMY RESERVE			
GREENWOOD			
OMS/AMSA	330	330	330
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI	14,390	16,032	13,970
MISSOURI			
ARMY			
FORT LEONARD WOOD			
MAINTENANCE DIVISION COMPLEX	11,000	11,000	11,000
AIR FORCE			
WHITEMAN AFB			
ADD TO CHILD CARE CENTER	540	540	---
ADD TO SEC POLICE SUPPORT FAC	200	200	200
ALT MISSILE ACCESS ROADS	620	---	---
CONV TO HQ GP COMPLEX	457	457	457
SMALL ARMS RANGE	---	---	710
VEPH	---	7,800	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES			
DMA AEROSPACE CENTER			
ADDITION CARTOGRAPHIC & GEOPHYSICAL BLDG	24,141	24,141	24,141
TOTAL, MISSOURI	36,958	44,138	36,508
MONTANA			
AIR FORCE			
MALMSTROM AFB			
HEAT PLANT	49,900	49,900	49,900
VEPH	---	5,100	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
HARLOWTON			
60 PERSON ARMORY	578	578	578
HELENA			
UNIT TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE	726	726	726
ARMY RESERVE			
HELENA			
AMSA	611	611	611
TOTAL, MONTANA	51,815	56,915	51,815

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project	DOD request	House allowance	Committee recommendation
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
NEBRASKA			
AIR FORCE			
OFFUTT AFB			
ADD/ALT COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVER FAC	3,805	---	3,805
ADD/ALT SATELLITE COMM GROUND TERMINAL	3,300	3,300	3,300
EMER POWER CIRCUIT	2,000	2,000	2,000
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	2,800	2,800	2,800
JET FUEL STORAGE FAC	1,350	1,350	1,350
TOTAL, NEBRASKA	13,255	9,450	13,255
NEVADA			
ARMY			
HAWTHORNE AAP			
STEAM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	430	430	430
NAVY			
NAS FALLON			
LIGHTING SYSTEMS	210	210	210
AIR FORCE			
NELLIS AFB			
ACFT MAINT UNIT FAC	980	980	980
ADD TO ACFT PARKING APRON	5,900	5,900	5,900
ADD TO WATER SYS	4,550	4,550	4,550
DATA PROC FAC	370	370	370
SOUND SUPPRESSION SUPPORT FAC	670	670	670
SQUAD OPNS FAC	1,900	1,900	1,900
TACTICAL RANGE SUPPORT	2,300	2,300	2,300
VAR OPNS/MAINT FAC	3,350	3,350	3,350
VEH MAINT FAC	1,900	1,900	1,900
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
RENO IAP			
TELECOMM CENTER	550	550	550
AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYSTEM	580	580	580
TOTAL, NEVADA	23,690	23,690	23,690
NEW HAMPSHIRE			
AIR FORCE			
PEASE AFB			
ADD/ALT GYMNASIUM	290	290	---
DINING FACILITY	---	920	920
CHILD CARE CENTER	1,000	1,000	---
TOTAL, NEW HAMPSHIRE	1,290	2,210	920

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
NEW JERSEY			
ARMY			
MILITARY OCEAN TERMINAL BAYONNE			
ECONOMIZERS	300	300	300
AIR FORCE			
MCGUIRE AFB			
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	160	160	160
HYDRANT FUELING SYS	8,000	8,000	8,000
UPGRADE ACFT MAINT FAC	8,000	8,000	8,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
TRENTON			
ARMORY EXPANSION	338	338	338
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
MCGUIRE AFB			
POWER CHECK PAD WITH SUPPR	500	500	500
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY	17,298	17,298	17,298
NEW MEXICO			
ARMY			
WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE			
LAUNCH COMPLEX	5,500	---	5,500
AIR FORCE			
CANNON AFB			
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	1,800	1,800	1,800
HOLLOMAN AFB			
DATA PROC FAC	1,300	1,300	1,300
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	940	940	940
JET FUEL STORAGE	510	510	510
LAND ACQUISITION FEE PURCHASE	1,102	---	---
LARGE TARGET PRECISION ROTATOR	1,000	1,000	1,000
WATER WELLS	1,500	1,500	1,500
KIRTLAND AFB			
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	3,570	3,570	3,570
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
KIRTLAND AFB			
ADD/ALT COMPOSITE SQUAD OPNS FAC	660	660	660
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO	17,882	11,280	16,780
NEW YORK			
ARMY			
U S MILITARY ACADEMY			
HEATING SYSTEM MODIFICATIONS	300	300	300
FT DRUM			
BUILDING INSULATION	---	1,550	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
AIR FORCE			
GRIFFISS AFB			
ALT DATA PROC FAC	300	300	300
COMMAND/CONTROL SIMULATION LAB	900	900	900
HEAT PLANT	49,050	49,050	49,050
VEHICLE MAINT FACILITY	---	7,400	---
PLATTSBURGH AFB			
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	770	770	770
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
RONKONKOMA			
400 PERSON ARMORY	474	474	474
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	962	962	962
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	165	165	165
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
FT DRUM GUNNERY RANGE			
RANGE MAINT FAC	450	450	450
NIAGARA FALLS			
AUTO MAINT/REFUEL VEH SHOP	1,000	1,000	1,000
STEWART AIRPORT			
SITE PREPARATION	---	5,000	---
JET FUEL STORAGE	---	3,000	---
AIRCRAFT PARKING	---	2,500	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
PORTLAND IAP			
SECURITY POLICE OPNS	545	545	545
TOTAL, NEW YORK	54,916	74,366	54,916
NORTH CAROLINA			
ARMY			
FORT BRAGG			
BARRACKS WITH DINING FACILITY	15,470	15,470	15,470
COMPANY ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY	2,050	2,050	2,050
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	5,300	5,300	5,300
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	2,300	2,300	2,300
NAVY			
MCAS CHERRY POINT			
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	3,800	3,800	3,800
AVIONICS SHOP	2,900	2,900	2,900
ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP	3,100	3,100	3,100
MAINT AND OPN FAC	1,300	1,300	1,300
MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	3,550	3,550	3,550
MAINTENANCE HANGAR	7,100	7,100	7,100
OPS TRAINER FAC	2,550	2,550	2,550
POWER CHECK PAD	2,000	2,000	2,000
WAREHOUSES	2,500	2,500	2,500
MCAS NEW RIVER			
ORDNANCE MAGS	800	800	800

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
MCB CAMP LEJUENE			
APPLIED INSTR BLDG IMPR	1,500	1,500	1,500
ENGR BATT VEH MAINT SHOP	2,850	2,850	2,850
MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,400	2,400	2,400
UEPH	21,800	21,800	21,800
NARF MCAS CHERRY POINT			
GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP	3,000	3,000	3,000
HEAT VENT AIR CONDITION	660	660	660
SUPPORT EQUIP SHOP	5,550	5,550	5,550
NRMC CAMP LEJEUNE			
SUPPORT FACILITIES	2,800	2,800	2,800
AIR FORCE			
POPE AFB			
DATA PROC FAC	1,400	1,400	1,400
LAND FEE PURCHASE	1,000	1,000	1,000
UPGRADE OVERRUNS	2,000	2,000	2,000
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB			
ADD/ALT DATA PROC FAC	860	860	860
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	2,220	2,220	2,220
VAR ACFT MAINT FAC	1,400	1,400	1,400
VEH MAINT SHOP	400	400	400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
ROANOKE RAPIDS			
60 PERSON ARMORY	501	501	501
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
DOUGLAS MAP			
JET FUEL STOR/POL OPNS/REFUEL VEH PARKING	1,900	1,900	1,900
FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM	400	400	400
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	107,361	107,361	107,361
NORTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE			
GRAND FORKS AFB			
PRECISION MEASUREMENT EQUIP LAB	510	510	510
MINOT AFB			
ADD/ALT GYMNASIUM	710	710	---
TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA	1,220	1,220	510
OHIO			
AIR FORCE			
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB			
AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL	9,500	9,500	9,500
ALT FTD COMPUTER FAC	2,086	2,086	2,086
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	790	790	790
MATERIALS LAB	20,600	20,600	20,600
REFUEL VEH SHOP	700	700	700

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
DEFENSE AGENCIES			
DEFENSE CONSTRUCTION SUPPLY CENTER			
BUILDING INSULATION	180	180	180
MAINTENANCE SHOP IMPROVEMENT	210	210	210
PESTICIDE STORAGE AND HANDLING FACILITY	340	340	340
UPGRADE ADP FACILITY	160	160	160
DEFENSE ELECTRONICS SUPPLY CENTER			
ADP FACILITY ALTERATIONS	440	440	440
COAL STORAGE PAD	370	370	370
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
CAMP PERRY			
BARRACKS CO ADM/SUPPLY PH III	524	524	524
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
SPRINGFIELD MAP			
ADD/ALT AUTO MAINT SHOP	1,160	1,160	1,160
ALT COMM ELECT SUPOORT EQUIP SHOP	840	840	840
TOLEDO EXPRESS AIRPORT			
POWER CHECK PAD WITH SUPPR	500	500	500
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB			
POWER CHECK PAD WITH SUPPRESSOR	350	350	350
TOTAL, OHIO	38,750	38,750	38,750
OKLAHOMA			
AIR FORCE			
ALTUS AFB			
ADD/ALT DATA PROC FAC	1,060	1,060	1,060
DORMITORY	---	2,100	---
TINKER AFB			
APRON POWER	300	300	300
OSH-ALT ACFT DEPOT MAINT HANGARS	8,700	8,700	8,700
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	1,950	1,950	1,950
VANCE AFB			
VEPH	---	8,000	---
FLIGHT FACILITIES MAINT SHOP	---	500	---
BASE FLIGHT OPERATIONS FAC	---	900	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
BARTLESVILLE			
100 PERSON ARMORY	574	574	574
ARDMORE			
150 PERSON ARMORY	---	801	---
CAMP GRUBER			
BARRACKS CO ADM/SUPPLY PH I	925	925	925
OKEMAH			
100 PERSON ARMORY	---	606	---
TAHLEQUAH			
100 PERSON ARMORY	578	578	578

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
TONKAWA			
100 PERSON ARMORY	552	552	552
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
TULSA IAP			
AUTO MAINT/SUPPORT EQUIP SHOP	1,150	1,150	1,150
COMM/ELECT TRNG FAC	1,980	1,980	1,980
SITE PREP/ROADS/PARKING/UTILITIES	800	800	800
ARMY RESERVE			
STILLWATER			
MOD/ADD TO 150 MEMBER ARC	793	793	793
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	19,362	32,269	19,362
OREGON			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
CAMP RILEA			
BARRACKS LATRINE ADM SUPPLY	1,506	1,506	1,506
BARRACKS LATRINE COMPANY ADM PH II	1,506	1,506	1,506
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
PORTLAND IAP			
FUEL SYS MAINT/CORROSION CONTROL DOCK	1,600	1,600	1,600
ROCKET STORAGE CHECKOUT & ASSEMBLY FAC	3,050	3,050	3,050
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
PORTLAND INTERNATIONAL AP			
SQUAD OPERATIONS	1,350	1,350	1,350
UTILITIES	1,205	1,205	1,205
TOTAL, OREGON	10,217	10,217	10,217
PENNSYLVANIA			
NAVY			
ASO PHILADELPHIA			
HEAT VENT AIR CONDITION	1,400	1,400	1,400
ADMIN OFF MOD	---	---	---
NADC WARMINSTER			
STRUCT/ACFT FIR/RESCUE STA	1,400	1,400	1,400
WEATHERIZATION	170	170	170
SPCC MECHANICSBURG			
HEAT VENT AIR CONDITION	380	380	380
NSS ENGINEERING STA, PHILA			
ELECTRICAL DIST LINES	---	---	---
PHILA NAVAL SHIPYARD			
ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT BLDG	---	17,500	---
FIRE PROTECT SYS	---	1,000	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
HARRISBURG IAP			
COMPOSITE SQUAD OPNS/TELECOMM	3,140	3,140	3,140
GREATER PITTSBURGH IAP			
FIRE SUPPRESSION SYS	400	400	400

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
ARMY RESERVE			
KITTANNING			
100 MBR ARC/OMS	1,886	1,886	1,886
NAVY RESERVE			
NAS WILLOW GROVE			
MARINE CORPS STORAGE	750	750	750
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
GREATER PITTSBURGH IAP			
ACFT MAINT HANGAR	3,125	3,125	3,125
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA	12,651	31,151	12,651
RHODE ISLAND			
NAVY			
NAVUWSYSCEN NEWPORT			
LAUNCHER LAB	6,500	6,500	6,500
NETC NEWPORT			
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVE	1,100	1,100	1,100
TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND	7,600	7,600	7,600
SOUTH CAROLINA			
ARMY			
FORT JACKSON			
DINING FACILITY MODERNIZATION	360	360	360
NAVY			
FMWTC CHARLESTON			
TRAINING MOCK-UP	460	460	460
MCAS BEAUFORT			
GYM ADDN	570	570	---
MAINTENANCE HANGAR ADDN	4,650	4,650	4,650
MCRD PARRIS ISLAND			
ENLISTED DINING FAC MODN	1,550	1,550	1,550
NRMC CHARLESTON			
HEAT VENT AND AIR CONDITION	360	360	360
UEPH IMPROVEMENTS	660	660	660
NS CHARLESTON			
CHILD CARE CENTER	1,550	1,550	---
DEGAUSSING PIER	4,000	4,000	4,000
MOORING PLATFORM	6,700	---	6,700
NSY CHARLESTON			
CRANE AND EQUIP. MAINT. SHOP	---	---	13,600
NWS CHARLESTON			
FAMILY SERVICES CENTER	390	390	---
FIRE PROT SYS	880	880	880
PASS OFFICE	250	---	250
STEAM PLANT	1,140	1,140	1,140
POMFLANT CHARLESTON			
MISSILE ASSEMBLY PLT ADD	540	540	540

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
AIR FORCE			
CHARLESTON AFB			
DATA PROC FAC	1,550	1,550	1,550
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	480	480	480
SHAW AFB			
DATA PROC FAC	1,150	1,150	1,150
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FAC	400	400	400
NAVY RESERVE			
NMCRC COLUMBIA			
COMBAT VEHICLE MAINT SHOP	300	300	300
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
CHARLESTON AFB			
ADD TO RES FORCES AEROMED EVAC FAC	885	885	885
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA	28,825	21,875	39,915
SOUTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE			
ELLSWORTH AFB			
JET FUEL STORAGE FAC	1,350	1,350	1,350
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
RAPID CITY			
60 PERSON ARMORY	304	304	304
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
JOE FOSS FIELD			
AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYS	560	560	560
TOTAL, SOUTH DAKOTA	2,214	2,214	2,214
TENNESSEE			
NAVY			
NAS MEMPHIS MILLINGTON			
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BLDG	8,400	8,400	8,400
AIR FORCE			
ARNOLD ENGINEERING DEV CENTER			
AEROPROPULSION SYS TEST FAC (ASTF)	42,000	---	---
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	6,600	6,600	6,600
DEFENSE AGENCIES			
DEFENSE DEPOT MEMPHIS			
PESTICIDE STORAGE AND HANDLING FACILITY	450	450	450
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
KNOXVILLE			
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	2,004	2,004	2,004
LEXINGTON			
100 PERSON ARMORY	650	650	650

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
MCGHEE-TYSON APT			
OPNL TRAINING FAC	2,000	2,000	2,000
FIRE SUPPRESSION SYS	400	400	400
NASHVILLE MAP			
DINING HALL	910	910	910
MEDICAL TRNG & ADMIN FAC	1,130	1,130	1,130
ECIP	429	429	429
NAVY RESERVE			
NAS MEMPHIS			
JET ENGINE TEST CELL	3,100	3,100	3,100
TOTAL, TENNESSEE	68,073	26,073	26,073
TEXAS			
ARMY			
FORT BLISS			
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	8,400	8,400	8,400
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	6,200	6,200	6,200
TRAINING FACILITY	6,700	---	6,700
FORT HOOD			
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS AND CLASSROOM	1,500	1,500	1,500
COMPANY ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY	2,350	2,350	2,350
HANGAR WITH SHOPS	15,500	15,500	15,500
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	4,100	4,100	4,100
DIVISION HEADQUARTERS	---	13,800	---
FORT SAM HOUSTON			
TRAINING BARRACKS	---	20,000	---
AIR CONDITIONING SCHOOL DISTR	---	2,000	---
DINING HALL	---	310	---
RED RIVER ARMY DEPOT			
MAINTENANCE MODERNIZATION	39,000	39,000	39,000
NAVY			
NAS CHASE FIELD			
WEATHERIZATION	120	120	120
NAS CORPUS CHRISTI			
AIRCRAFT READY FUEL STORAGE	1,150	1,150	1,150
RATC CENTER	1,650	1,650	1,650
AIR FORCE			
BERGSTROM AFB			
ADD/ALT SEC POLICE FAC	360	360	360
ALT UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	4,200	4,200	4,200
SQUAD FLT OPNS FAC	1,250	1,250	1,250
BROOKS AFB			
ADD TO CHAPEL CENTER	400	400	---
ANIMAL QUARANTINE SUPPORT FAC	750	325	325

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project	DOD request	House allowance	Committee recommendation
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
CARSWELL AFB			
ADD/ALT COMPOSITE MEDICAL FAC	49,500	49,500	49,500
ALT UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	5,000	5,000	5,000
SATAF TRAINING FAC	300	300	300
DYESS AFB			
ADD/ALT DINING HALL	1,650	1,650	1,650
GOODFELLOW AFB			
CRYPTO SYS MAINT TRNG FAC	4,300	4,300	4,300
SPEC INTELL TRNG FAC	3,600	3,600	3,600
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	7,400	7,400	7,400
KELLY AFB			
ALT INDUSTRIAL WASTE TREATMENT PLT	1,500	1,500	1,500
ALT METAL BONDING SHOP	650	650	650
EDUC CENTER	2,000	2,000	---
LOGISTICAL SYS OPNS CENTER	8,500	8,500	8,500
REFUEL VEH SHOP	920	920	920
LACKLAND AFB			
CLINICAL INVESTIGATION FAC	6,100	6,100	6,100
LAUGHLIN AFB			
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	2,920	2,920	2,920
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	2,850	2,850	2,850
RANDOLPH AFB			
ALT ADMINISTRATIVE FAC	2,850	2,850	2,850
APPROACH LIGHTING STS	1,050	1,050	1,050
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	480	480	480
REESE AFB			
ALT UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	3,750	3,750	3,750
SHEPPARD AFB			
ADD/ALT DATA PROC FAC	760	760	760
VISITING OFFICER QTRS	5,500	5,500	5,500
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
ELLINGTON			
ALT HANGAR FOR AVIONICS SHOP	480	480	480
AUTO MAINT/REFUEL VEH SHOP	1,230	1,230	1,230
ROCKET STORAGE CHECKOUT & ASSEMBLY FAC	2,700	2,700	2,700
AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYSTEM	300	300	300
ARMY RESERVE			
GRAND PRAIRIE			
IMPROVE FLIGHT FACILITIES	819	819	819
NAVY RESERVE			
NAS DALLAS			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	3,900	3,900	3,900
ENERGY CONSERVATION VARIOUS BLDGS	133	133	133
WATER CONNECTION WITH CITY	330	330	330
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
BERGSTROM AFB			
POWER CHECK PAD WITH SUPPRESSOR	460	460	460

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
CARSWELL AFB			
ADD TO ROCKET CHECKOUT FAC	525	525	525
POWER CHECK PAD WITH SUPPRESSOR	590	590	590
TOTAL, TEXAS	216,677	245,662	213,852
UTAH			
ARMY			
TOOELE ARMY DEPOT			
SOLID WASTE INCINERATOR	4,150	4,150	4,150
AIR FORCE			
HILL AFB			
EMERGENCY BACK-UP POWER	1,895	1,895	1,895
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	3,950	3,950	3,950
DEFENSE AGENCIES			
DEFENSE DEPOT OGDEN			
PESTICIDE STORAGE AND HANDLING FACILITY	350	350	350
WAREHOUSE MECHANIZATION SUPPORT FACILITY	250	250	250
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
SALT LAKE CITY IAP			
ADD TO BASE SUPPLIES & EQUIP WHSE	930	930	930
ARMY RESERVE			
FT DOUGLAS			
ADD/ALT TO OMS/AMSA/ECS	839	839	839
TOOELE			
ANNUAL AND WEEKEND TRAINING SITE	1,630	1,630	1,630
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
HILL AFB			
AVIONICS SHOP	1,180	1,180	1,180
TOTAL, UTAH	15,174	15,174	15,174
VIRGINIA			
ARMY			
FORT EUSTIS			
AVIATION TRAINING FACILITY	6,400	6,400	6,400
FORT MYER			
ENERGY CONSERVATIONS	210	---	---
FORT STORY			
BARRACKS WITH DINING FACILITY	13,800	13,800	13,800
FORT MONROE			
ENERGY CONS MONITORING SYS	---	---	---
NAVY			
CONOSYLANT NORFOLK			
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FAC	3,100	3,100	3,100
FCTCLANT DAM NECK			
ENLISTED DINING FAC ADDN	1,700	1,700	1,700
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FAC ADD	720	720	720

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
FICEURLANT NORFOLK			
INTELLIGENCE BLDG ADDN	2,085	2,085	2,085
FTC NORFOLK			
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BLDGS	5,400	5,400	5,400
MCDEV:ED COM QUANTICO			
COLD STORAGE WAREHOUSE	2,750	2,750	2,750
STEAM PLANT MOD	20,500	20,500	20,500
NAB LITTLE CREEK			
DREDGING	1,850	1,850	1,850
OIL SPILL PREVENTION	810	810	810
NAS NORFOLK			
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BLDG	2,150	2,150	2,150
NAVCAMS LANT NORFOLK			
SEAWALLS (ANNAPOLIS)	1,850	1,850	1,850
NMEF YORKTOWN			
MINE ENGINEERING LAB	3,250	---	3,250
NS NORFOLK			
COLLIMATION TOWER	210	210	210
NSGA NW CHESAPEAKE			
CHAPEL/RELIGIOUS EDUCATION BLDG	930	930	---
NSY NORFOLK			
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVES	270	270	270
STEAM PLANT PHASE I	33,000	33,000	33,000
NWS YORKTOWN			
TORPEDO SHOP ADDITION	970	---	970
PWC NORFOLK			
AIR EMISSION CONTROL	9,100	9,100	9,100
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVES	2,330	2,330	2,330
UTILITY IMPROVEMENTS	4,600	4,600	4,600
SURFWPNCEN OC DAHLGREN			
APPLIED RESEARCH LAB	10,800	10,800	10,800
NAS OCEANA			
LAND ACQUISITION	---	6,200	---
AIR FORCE			
LANGLEY AFB			
ACFT MAINT UNIT FAC	850	850	850
ADD TO ACFT APRON	4,750	4,750	4,750
ALT UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	4,550	4,550	4,550
CHILD CARE CENTER	550	550	---
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	520	520	-520
ENTOMOLOGY FAC	420	420	420
SQUAD OPERATIONS	1,050	1,050	1,050
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	5,200	5,200	5,200
PENTAGON			
NMCC POWER UPGRADE	3,300	3,300	3,300
DEFENSE AGENCIES			
FT BELVOIR			
AUDITORIUM	4,600	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
CLASSIFIED ACTIVITY FORT BELVOIR			
FACILITY ADDITIONS & ALTERATIONS	2,100	2,100	2,100
DEFENSE GENERAL SUPPLY CENTER			
ENERGY MANAGEMENT CONTROL SYSTEM	680	680	680
TOTAL, VIRGINIA	157,355	154,525	151,065
WASHINGTON			
ARMY			
FORT LEWIS			
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	2,800	2,800	2,800
HELICOPTER HANGAR	7,400	7,400	7,400
HELICOPTER HANGAR	7,500	7,500	7,500
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	2,900	2,900	2,900
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,100	1,100	1,100
YAKIMA FIRING CENTER			
FIRING RANGE ROADS	1,250	1,250	1,250
GYMNASIUM	1,700	1,700	---
NAVY			
NAS WHIBEY IS			
CHILD CARE CENTER	1,200	1,200	---
NAVSUBASE BANGOR			
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVES	180	180	180
WATERFRONT OPER CONTLOL TOWER	180	180	180
NSY BREMERTON PUGET SOUND			
ASBESTOS/LEAD HANDLING FAC	930	930	930
ENERGY MONITOR AND CTRL SYS	520	520	520
STEAM PLANT PHASE II	98,000	98,000	---
AIR FORCE			
FAIRCHILD AFB			
CONTROL TOWER	1,740	1,740	1,740
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG(ECIP)	630	630	630
TANKER ALERT FAC	2,000	2,000	2,000
MCCHORD AFB			
ALT DATA PROC FAC	850	850	850
ALT TELECOMM CENTER	510	510	510
GYMNASIUM	3,700	3,700	---
OSH-HAZARDOUS MATERIALS STORAGE	510	510	510
NAVY RESERVE			
NARV WHIDBEY ISL			
AIRCRAFT MAINT HANGAR	10,300	10,300	10,300
TOTAL, WASHINGTON	145,900	145,900	41,300
WEST VIRGINIA			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
E WV REGIONAL APRT (MARTINSBURG)			
FUEL SYS MAINT/CORROSION CONTROL DOCK	2,650	2,650	2,650
ECIP	447	447	447

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project	DOD request	House allowance	Committee recommendation
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
KANAWHA AIRPORT			
FIRE SUPPRESSION SYS	400	400	400
TOTAL, WEST VIRGINIA	3,497	3,497	3,497
WISCONSIN			
ARMY RESERVE			
FORT MCCOY			
CONVERT 3-BARRACKS TO STUDENT HOUSING	634	634	634
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
GEN B MITCHELL FLD			
ALT JET FUEL STORAGE	350	350	350
TOTAL, WISCONSIN	984	984	984
WYOMING			
AIR FORCE			
FE WARREN AFB			
ALT UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	4,150	4,150	4,150
PINEDALE			
POWER/UPGRADE SPECIAL OPERATIONS FAC	589	589	589
POWELL			
BASE EXCHANGE/COMMISSARY	290	---	---
LAND-UTILITIES-SITE IMPR	1,250	1,250	1,250
RECREATION FAC	1,000	1,000	---
STRAT TRNG RANGE COMPLEX (SITE 1)<LAND	510	510	510
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HOUSING	400	400	400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
CAMP GUERNSEY			
60 PERSON ARMORY	774	774	774
DISPENSARY	348	348	348
TOTAL, WYOMING	9,311	9,021	8,021
CONUS CLASSIFIED			
ARMY			
AIRCRAFT HANGAR	20,000	20,000	20,000
CONUS UNSPECIFIED			
AIR FORCE			
VAR MX SUPPORT FAC	207,000	---	36,700
MOBILE GROUND TERMINAL SUPPORT	1,900	1,900	1,900
CONUS VARIOUS			
ARMY			
ACCESS ROADS	3,000	3,000	3,000
TOTAL, CONUS UNSPECIFIED	231,900	24,900	61,600

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
BELGIUM			
ARMY			
AMMUNITION SECURITY	180	180	180
AIR FORCE			
CHIEVRES AB			
ACFT INSTR LANDING SYS	630	630	630
TOTAL, BELGIUM	810	810	810
BERMUDA			
NAVY			
NF SOUTHAMPTON			
FIRE PROTECT PUMPING STATION	230	230	230
TOTAL, BERMUDA	230	230	230
CANADA			
NAVY			
NFD ARGENTIA NF			
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	420	420	420
TOTAL, CANADA	420	420	420
DIEGO GARCIA			
NAVY			
NAVSUPPFAC DIEGO GARCIA			
FACILITIES EXPANSION	78,230	69,530	53,395
SECGRUDET DIEGO GARCIA			
OPERATIONS BLDG ADDN	2,400	2,400	2,400
AIR FORCE			
UPGRADE/CONSTRUCT RDF FAC	36,550	36,550	4,550
TOTAL, DIEGO GARCIA	117,180	108,480	60,345
EGYPT			
ARMY			
RAS BANAS			
UPGRADE/CONSTRUCT RDF FACILITIES	53,000	---	53,000
AIR FORCE			
RAS BANAS			
UPGRADE/CONSTRUCT RDF FAC	125,600	---	125,600
TOTAL, EGYPT	178,600	---	178,600
GERMANY			
ARMY			
AUGSBURG			
NIGHT SETBACK CONTROLS	160	160	160

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project	DOD request	House allowance	Committee recommendation
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
BAD AIBLING			
DEFENSE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM TERMINAL	3,950	3,950	3,950
BAD KISSINGEN			
AUTOMATIC BOILER PLANT	1,650	---	---
BAMBERG			
AMMUNITION STORAGE	680	680	680
BARRACKS	2,200	2,200	2,200
MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,100	2,100	2,100
BAUMHOLDER			
BARRACKS WITH DINING FACILITY	1,100	1,100	1,100
HEAT RECOVERY SYSTEM	440	440	440
TEMPERATURE CONTROLS	1,250	1,250	1,250
WASTE OIL BURNER	120	120	120
BREMERHAVEN			
HOSPITAL RENOVATION	29,000	29,000	29,000
DEXHEIM			
BARRACKS WITH DINING FACILITY	14,600	14,600	14,600
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,250	1,250	1,250
DICHTELBACH / GRENDERICH			
AMMUNITION STORAGE	620	620	620
ERLANGEN			
DINING FACILITY	1,750	1,750	1,750
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	9,840	9,840	9,840
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	2,300	2,300	2,300
FRANKFURT			
TEMPERATURE CONTROLS	300	300	300
FRIEDBERG			
BARRACKS	2,100	2,100	2,100
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	920	920	920
FULDA			
TEMPERATURE CONTROLS	720	720	720
GIESSEN			
CONSOLIDATION OF HEATING FACILITY	1,300	1,300	1,300
GOENPFINGEN			
TEMPERATURE CONTROLS	440	440	440
GRAFENWOEHR			
DECONTAMINATION SITE	1,650	1,650	1,650
PLATOON QUALIFICATION RANGE	7,500	7,500	7,500
SQUAD/CREW QUALIFICATION	4,500	4,500	4,500
SQUAD/CREW QUALIFICATION	2,650	2,650	2,650
HANAU			
BARRACKS	4,050	4,050	4,050
ROADS AND PARKING AREA	3,300	---	---
TEMPERATURE CONTROLS	1,850	1,850	1,850
HEIDELBERG			
ENERGY MONITORING AND CONTROL SYSTEM	1,150	1,150	1,150
HOHENFELS			
STORAGE AND MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,150	1,150	1,150

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
ILLESHEIM			
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	7,300	7,300	7,300
KAISERSLAUTERN			
BARRACKS	12,200	---	12,200
DINING FACILITY	2,650	---	2,650
HEATING PLANT	1,450	---	1,450
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	830	830	830
KIRCHGOENS			
BARRACKS WITH DINING FACILITY	10,400	10,400	10,400
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	3,350	3,350	3,350
KITZINGEN			
BARRACKS	3,950	3,950	3,950
BARRACKS	3,450	3,450	3,450
MAINTENANCE FACILITY	6,300	6,300	6,300
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	7,100	7,100	7,100
KRIEGSFELD			
ADMINISTRATION BUILDING	880	880	---
BARRACKS	3,650	3,650	3,650
VEHICLE HARDSTAND	520	520	520
WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM EXPANSION	610	610	610
MAINZ			
BARRACKS WITH DINING FACILITY	5,100	5,100	5,100
QUIRNHEIM			
AMMUNITION STORAGE	460	460	460
SCHWEINFURT			
FACILITIES MODERNIZATION	2,450	2,450	2,450
TWISTEDEN			
AMMUNITION SECURITY	240	240	240
VILSECK			
BARRACKS	10,600	---	10,600
BARRACKS	5,800	5,800	5,800
BARRACKS	9,300	---	9,300
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS AND CLASSROOM	3,000	---	3,000
DINING FACILITY	3,600	---	3,600
FIGHTING VEHICLE TRAINING FACILITY	9,400	9,400	9,400
WERTHEIM			
AMMUNITION STORAGE	2,150	2,150	2,150
BARRACKS	7,600	7,600	7,600
BARRACKS	2,050	2,050	2,050
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS AND CLASSROOM	2,300	2,300	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	6,200	6,200	6,200
WIESBADEN AIR BASE			
GENERAL SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,200	1,200	1,200
WILDFLECKEN			
ATTIC INSULATION	1,850	---	1,850
FACILITIES MODERNIZATION	5,800	---	5,800
UPGRADE RANGE 9	3,050	---	3,050

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
VARIOUS			
HARDSTANDS	5,300	5,300	5,300
TEMPERATURE CONTROLS	1,300	1,300	1,300
AIR FORCE			
APELDORF AB			
LORAN SUPPORT	330	330	330
BITBURG AB			
CONVERT TO WAREHOUSE	510	510	---
DATA PROCESSING FAC	1,800	1,800	1,800
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FAC	580	580	580
GEILENKIRCHEN AB			
COMMUNITY SUPPORT FAC	5,206	3,766	---
HAHN AB			
APPROACH LIGHTING SYS	360	360	360
DATA PROCESSING FAC	1,800	1,800	1,800
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	630	630	630
EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL	590	590	590
SOUND SUPPRESSOR FAC	460	460	460
LANDSTUHL			
ADD/ALT AEROMEDICAL STAGING FAC	3,500	3,500	---
RAMSTEIN AB			
ADD TO FIRE STATION	350	350	350
ADD/ALT SIMULATOR TRNG FAC	800	800	800
SECURITY POLICE OPNS FAC	1,348	1,348	1,348
SOUND SUPPESSOR SUPPORT FAC	1,300	1,300	1,300
RHEIN-MAIN AB			
ADD/ALT DATA PROC FAC	1,000	1,000	1,000
ADD/ALT FUEL SYS MAINT DOCK	2,200	2,200	2,200
ALT MAINT HANGARS	670	670	670
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	3,170	3,170	3,170
REFUEL VEH MAINT SHOP	900	900	900
SUP OF TCC & SOCRE	539	539	539
VEHICLE MAINT COMPLEX	1,900	1,900	1,900
SEMBACH AB			
ACFT ENGINE MAINT FAC	2,950	2,950	2,950
ADD/ALT DATA PROC FAC	890	890	890
ADD/ALT DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FAC	580	580	580
WAREHOUSE	3,050	3,050	---
SPANGDAHLEM AB			
ADD/ALT DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FAC	410	410	410
DATA PROC FAC	1,820	1,820	1,820
WENIGERATH			
ALT MUNITIONS MAINT FAC	900	900	900
ZWEIBRUCKEN AB			
ALT DATA PROC FAC	1,020	1,020	1,020
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	510	510	510

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
DEFENSE AGENCIES			
BAMBERG			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1,730	1,730	---
HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	1,740	1,740	---
FULDA			
HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	1,780	1,780	---
HANAU			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	510	510	---
KAISERLAUTERN AIR BASE			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ANNEX	7,967	7,967	---
KAISERSLAUTERN COLD STORAGE FACILITY			
COLD STORAGE EXPANSION	5,100	5,100	5,100
KARLSRUHE			
ELEMENTARY/HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	7,380	7,380	---
MAINZ			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	2,830	2,830	---
MELLIGEN			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	950	950	---
NUERNBERG			
HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	2,820	2,820	---
RAMSTEIN AIR BASE			
HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	1,460	1,460	---
VILSESK			
MIDDLE SCHOOL ADDITION	910	910	---
WUERZBURG			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	3,920	3,920	---
TOTAL, GERMANY	341,150	281,260	286,757
NATO			
NATO INFRASTRUCTURE	375,000	325,000	325,000
GUAM			
NAVY			
NAVCAMS WESTPAC GUAM			
ROADS	270	270	270
STAND-BY GENERATOR PLANT	1,750	1,750	1,750
AMMUNITION WHARF	---	---	---
AIR FORCE			
ANDERSON AFB			
MUNITIONS MAINT/STORAGE FAC	2,440	2,440	2,440
DEFENSE AGENCIES			
DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT GUAM			
JP-4 FUEL STORAGE TANKS	43,900	43,900	43,900
TOTAL, GUAM	48,360	48,360	48,360

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA			
DEFENSE AGENCIES			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	510	510	---
TOTAL, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA	510	510	---
ICELAND			
NAVY			
NF KEFLAVIK			
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	1,400	1,400	1,400
NS KEFLAVIK			
CHAPEL AND RELIGIOUS EDUC BLDG	4,700	4,700	---
FAMILY SERVICES CENTER	1,500	1,500	---
FUEL FACILITIES (1ST INCR)	2,600	2,600	2,600
AIR FORCE			
KEFLAVIK			
ALT DATA PROC FAC	3,600	3,600	3,600
TOTAL, ICELAND	13,800	13,800	7,600
ITALY			
NAVY			
NAS SIGONELLA			
COLD STORAGE WAREHOUSE	1,300	1,300	1,300
FAMILY SERVICES CENTER	990	500	---
ORDNANCE OPERATIONS BLDG	780	780	780
UEPH AND DINING FACILITY	15,800	15,000	15,000
UTIL UPGRADE AND EXPAN	14,800	14,800	14,800
AIR FORCE			
AVIANO AB			
ALT ELEC DISTR SYS	4,400	4,400	4,400
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	190	190	190
VEH MAINT SHOP	2,800	2,800	2,800
CAMP DARBY			
MISSILE MAINT FAC	910	910	910
DEFENSE AGENCIES			
COMISO			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	15,280	---	---
TOTAL, ITALY	57,250	40,680	40,180
JAPAN			
ARMY			
KAWAKAMI			
REMOTE CONTROL SUBSTATION	630	630	630
NAVY			
MCAS IWAKUNI			
ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,350	1,350	1,350

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
MCB CP BUTLER OKINAWA			
AIRCRAFT RINSE FACILITY	2,000	2,000	2,000
MAF ATSUGI			
FAMILY SERVICES CENTER	950	500	---
STEAM AND CONDENSATE SYS	1,700	1,700	1,700
AIR FORCE			
KADENA AB			
ACFT CORROSION CONTROL FAC	6,100	6,100	6,100
ADD TO AMMO MAINT SHOP	390	390	390
ALT UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	1,850	1,850	1,850
JET FUEL STORAGE	8,000	8,000	8,000
VEH MAINT SHOP	510	510	510
MISAWA AB			
ADD/ALT ELECTRONIC SECURITY OPNS FAC	3,650	3,650	3,650
FIRE STATION	1,650	1,650	1,650
SEC POLICE OPNS FAC	1,300	1,300	1,300
YAEDAKE AB			
UPGRADE POWER PLANT	1,300	1,300	1,300
DEFENSE AGENCIES			
YOKOTA AIR BASE			
HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	5,660	5,660	---
TOTAL, JAPAN	37,040	36,590	30,430
JOHNSTON ISLAND			
ARMY			
EXPANSION OF MAINTENANCE BUILDING	1,050	---	1,050
TOTAL, JOHNSTON ISLAND	1,050	---	1,050
KENYA			
NAVY			
FACILITIES EXPANSION	8,300	8,300	8,300
TOTAL, KENYA	8,300	8,300	8,300
KOREA			
ARMY			
CAMP CARROLL			
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP STEAM SYSTEM	530	530	530
TECHNICAL INSPECTION FACILITY	1,000	1,000	1,000
TRAILER REPAIR SHOP	790	790	790
CAMP CASEY			
TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC	3,800	3,800	3,800
CAMP CASEY / CAMP HOWZE			
DINING FACILITY	4,050	4,050	4,050
CAMP EDWARDS			
GENERAL PURPOSE AUDITORIUM	630	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project	DOD request	House allowance	Committee recommendation
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
CAMP ESSAYONS			
BARRACKS	2,100	2,100	2,100
CAMP HENRY / CAMP WALKER			
UPGRADE POWER DISTRIBUTION	1,550	1,550	1,550
CAMP HOWZE			
GYMNASIUM	2,600	---	---
CAMP HUMPHREYS			
CHAPEL AND COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES CENTER	1,100	---	---
CAMP KITTYHAWK			
BARRACKS	1,900	1,900	1,900
CAMP RED CLOUD			
GYMNASIUM ADDITION	300	---	---
THEATER WITH STAGE	1,050	---	---
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER QUARTERS	610	610	610
CAMP TANGO / TAEGU			
REVETMENTS	750	---	---
K-16 ARMY AIRFIELD			
DIRECT SUPPORT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	5,500	5,500	5,500
GYMNASIUM WITH POOL	2,350	---	---
POHANG			
MONOBOUY SYSTEM	3,350	---	---
PUSAN			
WATER DISTRIBUTION AND FIRE PROTECTION	1,700	1,700	1,700
TAEGU			
EMERGENCY OPERATIONS CENTER	2,150	2,150	2,150
VARIOUS			
ELECTRICAL SYSTEM UPGRADE	4,600	4,600	4,600
SEWER SYSTEM UPGRADE	9,900	9,900	9,900
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	7,100	7,100	7,100
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOPS	9,600	9,600	9,600
WATER SYSTEM UPGRADE	3,900	3,900	3,900
AIR FORCE			
CAMP LONG			
POWER UPGRADE FOR SPEC OPUS FAC	1,750	1,750	1,750
KUNSAN AB			
ADD TO DATA PROC FAC	440	440	440
AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS (CHOKE POINTS)	2,900	2,900	2,900
AIRMEN DINING HALL	2,500	2,500	2,500
ALT ACFT SHELTERS	15,290	15,290	15,290
ALT UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	10,500	10,500	10,500
OPERATING JET FUEL STORAGE	2,050	2,050	2,050
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT	630	630	630
UNACCOMP OFFICER PERS HSG	3,250	3,250	3,250
KWANG-JU AB			
GROUND FUEL STORAGE FAC	2,450	2,450	2,450
OSAN AB			
ACFT MAINT & OPNS FAC	2,700	2,700	2,700
ACFT SHELTERS & PAVEMENTS	7,000	7,000	7,000
ADD TO DATA PROC FAC	460	460	460

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
CHILD CARE CENTER	510	510	---
COMPOSITE MEDICAL FAC	20,500	20,500	20,500
FLT SIMULATOR TRAINING FAC	1,000	1,000	1,000
PUBLICATIONS MANAGEMENT CENTER	650	650	---
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	10,500	10,500	10,500
UNACCOMP OFFICER PERS HSG	3,300	3,300	3,300
TAEGU AB			
AVIONICS SUPPLY ADMIN FAC	510	510	510
DEFENSE AGENCIES			
SEOUL			
ELEMENTARY AND HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	960	960	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS			
FUEL STORAGE FACILITIES	29,000	29,000	29,000
TOTAL, KOREA	191,760	179,630	177,510
KWAJALEIN			
ARMY			
EXPLOSIVE STORAGE FACILITY	660	---	---
LAUNCH COMPLEX	21,600	---	---
MISSILE ASSEMBLY BUILDING	750	---	---
TOTAL, KWAJALEIN	23,010	---	---
MARIANA ISLANDS			
DEFENSE AGENCIES			
DEFENSE INSTALLATIONS			
LAND ACQUISITION	35,000	---	35,000
TOTAL, MARIANA ISLANDS	35,000	---	35,000
OMAN			
AIR FORCE			
UPGRADE/CONSTRUCT RDF FAC	60,350	9,250	60,350
TOTAL, OMAN	60,350	9,250	60,350
NETHERLANDS			
ARMY			
AMMUNITION SECURITY	200	200	200
TOTAL, NETHERLANDS	200	200	200
PANAMA			
ARMY			
COROZAL			
BARRACKS MODIFICATION	2,800	2,800	2,800

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
BARRACKS WITH DINING FACILITY	1,350	1,350	1,350
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	570	570	570
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	720	720	720
HOSPITAL ALTERATION	2,650	2,650	2,650
TOTAL, PANAMA	8,090	8,090	8,090
PHILIPPINES			
NAVY			
NAS CUBI POINT			
ENGINE MAINT SHOP ADDN	1,300	1,300	1,300
JET ENGINE TEST CELL	5,000	2,500	5,000
UEPH	4,100	4,100	4,100
NSRF SUBIC BAY			
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVE	500	500	500
PWC SUBIC BAY			
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVE	2,050	2,050	2,050
AIR FORCE			
CLARK AB			
ACFT CORROSION CONTROL FAC	330	330	330
ADD/ALT COMPOSITE MEDICAL FAC	276	276	276
ALT UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	3,350	3,350	3,350
REPARABLE ASSETS FAC	710	710	710
UPGRADE POWER PLT (PH 11)	11,030	11,030	11,030
SAN MIGUEL			
PACBAR RADAR SUPPORT FAC	1,750	1,750	1,750
TOTAL, PHILIPPINES	30,396	27,896	30,396
PORTUGAL			
AIR FORCE			
LAJES FIELD			
ADD/ALT DATA PROC FAC	790	---	---
UPGRADE/CONSTRUCT RDF FAC	55,700	---	---
TOTAL, PORTUGAL	56,490	---	---
PUERTO RICO			
NAVY			
NCS PONCE			
STAND-BY GENERATOR PLANT	900	900	900
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
JUANTA DIAZ			
200 PERSON ARMORY	815	815	815
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
PUERTO RICO IAP			
SECURITY ENHANCEMENT	2,000	2,000	2,000
TOTAL, PUERTO RICO	3,715	3,715	3,715

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
SOMALIA			
NAVY			
NAVAL ACTIVITIES	30,000	30,000	30,000
SPAIN			
NAVY			
NS ROTA			
FAMILY SERVICES CENTER	640	---	---
AIR FORCE			
TORREJON AFB			
ALT UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	1,700	---	---
ARM/DISARM PAD	600	---	---
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	650	---	---
REFUEL VEH COMPLEX	1,200	---	---
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FAC	1,100	---	---
TOTAL, SPAIN	5,890	---	---
TURKEY			
ARMY			
LOCATION 276			
HEATING AND COOLING UPGRADE	950	950	950
POWER UPGRADE	800	800	800
VARIOUS			
ADMINISTRATION BUILDING	1,100	1,100	1,100
BARRACKS	1,450	1,450	1,450
GYMNASIUM	2,200	2,200	---
MULTI-PURPOSE BUILDING	570	570	570
MULTI-PURPOSE BUILDING	350	350	350
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER QUARTERS	2,300	2,300	2,300
AIR FORCE			
ANKARA			
BASE CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX	1,000	1,000	1,000
FIRE STATION	560	560	560
INCIRLIK AB			
ADD/ALT PROC FAC	1,290	1,290	1,290
TRANSMITTER/RECEIVER FAC	6,000	6,000	6,000
TOTAL, TURKEY	18,570	18,570	16,370
UNITED KINGDOM			
NAVY			
FOCC LONDON			
FLEET COMMAND CENTER	3,250	---	---
NAVFAC BRAWDY WALES			
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	670	670	670
NCS THURSO			
MEDICAL/DENTAL FACILITY	1,400	1,400	1,400

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
NSGA EDZELL SCOTLAND			
EM DINING FAC	1,140	1,140	1,140
FIRE PROTECTION	1,050	1,050	1,050
OPERATIONS BLDG ADDN	3,600	3,600	3,600
UEPH ADDITION	3,600	3,600	3,600
AIR FORCE			
MILDENHALL AB			
ACFT SUPPORT FAC	6,700	6,700	6,700
ADD/ALT DATA PROC FAC	810	810	810
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PRO (ECIP)	220	220	220
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	3,750	3,750	3,750
VISITING OFFICER QUARTERS	2,700	2,700	2,700
RAF ALCONBURY			
ADD/ALT DATA PROC FAC	900	900	900
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC	19,100	19,100	19,100
RAF BENTWATERS			
ALT DATA PROC FAC	840	840	840
ALT UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HOUSING	1,250	1,250	1,250
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	180	180	180
GYMNASIUM	3,250	---	---
WAREHOUSE	310	310	---
RAF FAIRFORD			
ACFT INSTRUMENT LANDING SYS	1,200	1,200	1,200
ADD/ALT GYMNASIUM	1,100	1,100	---
ALT POL SYS	250	250	250
ARTS & CRAFTS CENTER	510	---	---
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG (ECIP)	160	160	160
FIRE STATION	2,700	2,700	2,700
LOX STORAGE	300	300	300
RECREATION CENTER	1,100	---	---
UNACCOMP OFFICER PERS HOUSING	4,900	4,900	4,900
RAF LAKENHEATH			
ADD/ALT DATA PROC FAC	830	830	830
ALT UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	1,100	1,100	1,100
CHILD CARE CENTER	1,450	1,450	---
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROG(ECIP)	210	210	210
GYMNASIUM	3,250	- -	---
SOUND SUPPESSOR SUPPORT FAC	510	510	510
RAF UPPER HEYFORD			
ACFT SUPPORT FAC	6,700	6,700	6,700
ADD/ALT DATA PROC FAC	730	730	730
ADD/ALT FIRE STATION	1,110	1,110	1,110
CHILD CARE CENTER	1,500	1,500	---
SOUND SUPPRESSION SUPPORT FAC	510	510	510
UPGRADE ACFT MAINT SHOP	480	480	480
RAF WELFORD			
WATER STORAGE TANKS	890	890	890
RAF WOODBRIDGE			
FUEL SYS MAINT DOCK	1,750	1,750	1,750

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
DEFENSE AGENCIES			
RAF GREENHAM			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDTITION	15,250	--	---
RAF WOODBRIDGE			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1,200	1,200	1,200
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM	104,410	77,800	73,440
VIRGIN ISLANDS			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
ST THOMAS			
300 PERSON ARMORY	1,455	1,455	1,455
TOTAL, VIRGIN ISLANDS	1,455	1,455	1,455
OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED			
NAVY			
COMMUNICATION TERMINAL	2,500	---	2,500
DEFENSE AGENCIES			
LANCER	1,057	1,057	1,057
OPERATIONS BLDG ADDITION	7,500	7,500	7,500
HOUSEUCOM	13,000	---	13,000
TOTAL, OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED	24,057	8,557	24,057
OVERSEAS VARIOUS			
NAVY			
NON-ELIGIBLE NATO FUNDING	3,000	---	3,000
AIR FORCE			
CONTINGENCY FACILITIES	45,000	---	21,000
COMMUNICATIONS SITE SURVIVABILITY	1,000	1,000	1,000
GROUND LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE FAC (GLCM)	85,400	36,024	85,400
HARD LOGISTICS FAC	4,000	---	---
MINIMUM ESSENTIAL FAC	28,600	---	28,600
PREPOSITIONED PROCUREMENT STORAGE FAC	7,700	---	---
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FAC	2,630	2,630	2,630
TOTAL, OVERSEAS VARIOUS	177,330	39,654	141,630
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
ARMY			
MINOR CONSTRUCTION-EXIGENT	30,000	26,720	27,420
GENERAL REDUCTION	---	-40,000	---
PLANNING AND DESIGN	145,240	137,900	145,240
NAVY			
GENERAL REDUCTION	---	-40,000	---
ACCESS ROADS	7,500	7,500	7,500
EXIGENT MINOR CONSTRUCTION	21,000	16,000	17,280
PLANNING AND DESIGN	111,792	110,000	111,792

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
AIR FORCE			
GENERAL REDUCTION	---	-5,000	---
EXIGENT MINOR CONSTRUCTION	18,000	15,890	15,890
ACCESS ROADS (CASTLE AFB, CA)	---	4,400	---
MINOR LAND	250	250	250
PLANNING AND DESIGN	147,453	102,453	147,453
DEFENSE AGENCIES			
EMERGENCY CONSTRUCTION	30,000	5,200	5,200
EXIGENT MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,000	4,000	4,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN	19,000	10,000	19,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
EXIGENT MINOR CONSTRUCTION	15,100	8,000	15,100
PLANNING AND DESIGN	3,000	3,000	3,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
EXIGENT MINOR CONSTRUCTION	9,000	7,000	9,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN	8,000	8,000	8,000
ARMY RESERVE			
EXIGENT MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,700	4,700	5,700
PLANNING AND DESIGN	8,600	8,600	8,600
NAVY RESERVE			
EXIGENT MINOR CONSTRUCTION	500	500	500
PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,800	2,800	2,800
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
EXIGENT MINOR CONSTRUCTION	3,000	3,000	3,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN	3,600	3,600	3,600
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	593,535	404,513	560,325
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY			
CALIFORNIA			
FORT IRWIN	13,754	10,293	8,208
HAWAII			
CONST COMMUNITY FAC ALIAMANU MIL RES	8,700	4,100	---
MARYLAND			
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUNDS (REHAB 160 UNITS)	---	6,080	---
WASHINGTON			
FORT LEWIS	9,962	8,962	8,962
GERMANY			
GRAFENWOEHR	17,214	15,495	---
HOHENFELS	1,565	1,410	---
WILDFLECKEN	18,900	---	---
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	109,680	95,441	95,441
PLANNING	6,500	5,000	5,000
SUBTOTAL	186,275	146,781	117,611

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
OPERATING EXPENSES			
MANAGEMENT	59,303	55,800	55,800
SERVICES	35,887	35,887	35,887
UTILITIES	288,010	280,000	280,000
FURNISHINGS	64,099	54,000	54,000
MISCELLANEOUS	1,267	1,100	1,100
LEASING	79,470	73,000	73,000
MAINTENANCE	342,639	373,039	342,639
SUBTOTAL	870,675	872,826	842,426
INTEREST PAYMENTS CAPEHART AND WHERRY	4,243	4,243	4,243
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	407	407	407
TOTAL OBLIGATIONAL AUTHORITY, ARMY	1,061,600	1,024,257	964,687
LESS FINANCING FROM RECEIPTS	-8,181	-8,181	-8,181
NET BUDGET AUTHORITY, ARMY	1,053,419	1,016,076	956,506
PLUS APPROPRIATION FOR DEBT REDUCTION	38,534	38,534	38,534
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,091,953	1,054,610	995,040
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY			
CALIFORNIA			
MCB CAMP PENDLETON	9,112	8,200	8,200
NEW CONSTRUCTION - NCHWTC BRIDGEPORT	10,908	8,470	8,400
NS LONG BEACH	33,090	29,800	16,200
FLORIDA			
NS MAYPORT	1,000	1,000	1,000
MARYLAND			
NATC PATUXENT RIVER	5,000	---	---
NATC PATUXENT RIVER	---	---	19,500
GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA			
NS GUANTANAMO BAY	25,403	22,000	11,000
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	47,333	29,121	29,121
PLANNING	5,559	5,500	5,500
SUBTOTAL	137,405	104,091	98,921
OPERATING EXPENSES			
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	38,043	32,000	32,000
SERVICES ACCOUNT	34,574	30,000	30,000
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	206,777	200,000	200,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	16,228	15,000	15,000
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	2,128	1,100	1,100
LEASING	21,480	19,000	19,000
SUBTOTAL	319,230	297,100	297,100
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	314,579	314,579	314,579
INTEREST PAYMENTS, C AND W HOUSING	4,023	4,023	4,023
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	763	763	763
TOTAL OBLIGATIONAL AUTHORITY, NAVY	776,000	720,556	715,386
LESS FINANCING FROM RECEIPTS	-7,163	-7,163	-7,163
NET BUDGET AUTHORITY, NAVY	768,837	713,393	708,223
PLUS APPROPRIATION FOR DEBT REDUCTION	28,007	28,007	28,007
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY	796,844	741,400	736,230
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE			
CALIFORNIA			
FT MACARTHUR	20,000	18,000	18,000
FLORIDA			
MACDILL	3,200	2,300	---
WYOMING			
POWELL	3,850	3,465	3,465
TURKEY			
INCIRLIK AB	20,000	18,000	18,000
UNITED KINGDOM			
RAF BENTWATERS	35,000	29,800	- -
RAF GREENHAM COMMON	18,500	- -	---
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	76,500	68,939	68,939
PLANNING	2,500	2,500	2,500
SUBTOTAL	179,550	143,004	110,904
OPERATING EXPENSES			
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	24,500	24,500	24,500
SERVICES ACCOUNT	25,200	25,200	25,200
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	246,393	235,000	235,000
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	41,818	40,000	40,000
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	3,000	96	96

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
LEASING	53,723	51,000	51,000
SUBTOTAL	394,634	375,796	375,796
MAINT OF REAL PROPERTY	356,937	352,507	352,507
INTEREST PAYMENTS, C&W HOUSING	5,739	5,739	5,739
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	840	840	840
TOTAL OBLIGATIONAL AUTHORITY, AIR FORCE	937,700	877,886	845,786
LESS FINANCING FROM RECEIPTS	-6,150	-6,150	-6,150
NET BUDGET AUTHORITY, AIR FORCE	931,550	871,736	839,636
PLUS APPROPRIATION FOR DEBT REDUCTION	57,535	57,535	57,535
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	989,085	929,271	897,171
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE AGENCIES			
NSA CLASSIFIED PROJECT	1,009	400	560
DLA CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	33	33	33
SUBTOTAL	1,042	433	593
OPERATING EXPENSES			
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	21	21	21
SERVICES ACCOUNT	38	38	38
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	459	450	450
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	1,704	1,200	1,200
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	24	24	24
LEASING	14,366	11,400	11,400
SUBTOTAL	16,612	13,133	13,133
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	946	946	946
TOTAL OBLIGATIONAL AUTHORITY, DEFENSE AGENCIES	18,600	14,512	14,672
LESS FINANCING FROM RECEIPTS	-279	-279	-279
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE AGENCIES	18,321	14,233	14,393

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[Amounts in dollars]

Installation and project (1)	DOD request (2)	House allowance (3)	Committee recommendation (4)
HOMEOWNER'S ASSISTANCE	4,000	2,000	2,000
TOTAL FAMILY HOUSING	2,900,203	2,741,514	2,644,834
RECAPITULATION			
ARMY	1,100,200	941,570	982,810
NAVY	1,208,300	1,120,813	1,047,040
AIR FORCE	2,081,800	1,420,825	1,657,457
DEFENSE AGENCIES	408,900	289,145	305,143
NATO INFRASTRUCTURE	375,000	325,000	325,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	51,100	54,958	51,100
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	106,800	127,900	106,800
ARMY RESERVE	42,800	41,800	42,800
NAVAL RESERVE	25,200	25,200	25,200
AIR FORCE RESERVE	35,600	35,600	35,600
TOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	5,435,700	4,382,811	4,578,950
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,091,953	1,054,610	995,040
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY	796,844	741,400	736,230
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	989,085	929,271	897,171
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE AGENCIES	18,321	14,233	14,393
PORTION APPLIED TO DEBT REDUCTION	-124,076	-124,076	-124,076
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE	4,000	2,000	2,000
GRAND TOTAL	8,211,827	7,000,249	7,099,708